

Exhibit 1

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
ALEXANDRIA DIVISION**

IN RE: CAPITAL ONE CONSUMER)	MDL No. 1:19md2915 (AJT/JFA)
DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION)	
<hr/>		JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

This Document Relates to the Consumer Cases

**CLASS COUNSEL’S CONSOLIDATED DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR ATTORNEYS’ FEES, COSTS, EXPENSES AND SERVICE AWARDS**

Norman E. Siegel, Karen Hanson Riebel, and John Yanchunis declare as follows:

1. We were appointed by this Court to serve as Co-Lead Counsel for the Consumer Plaintiffs and Interim Class Counsel in the above-captioned MDL. We have collectively led the Plaintiffs’ efforts in this case since our appointment on December 2, 2019. We make this Declaration in support of Plaintiffs’ motion for attorneys’ fees, costs, expenses, and service awards. We have personal knowledge of all the matters addressed in this Declaration.

2. This Declaration focuses on the facts that bear on the Court’s determination of a reasonable fee, and, among other things, summarizes our work litigating and resolving this matter, our continued work on behalf of the Settlement Class since this Court ordered issuance of notice, and our anticipated future work administering the Settlement. This Declaration also summarizes the timekeeping protocols we developed and applied to all counsel, our efforts to efficiently allocate work, and the lodestar incurred in performing that work. Finally, this Declaration addresses Plaintiffs’ requests for reimbursement of reasonable costs and expenses and modest service awards to the Class Representatives and other plaintiffs deposed in the litigation.

Summary of the Litigation

3. Even a cursory review of the docket in this matter—spanning more than 2,200 entries—illustrates the prodigious efforts Class Counsel undertook in litigating and ultimately resolving this case. This Court’s first-hand experience with Class Counsel’s briefing and in-person argument, having held multiple day-long hearings along with monthly status conferences, makes it uniquely aware of the zealous advocacy Class Counsel provided, and the vehement defense they faced.

4. This case was, almost undoubtedly, the most heavily litigated data breach case in history. Over 350,000 documents—spanning nearly 3 million pages—were reviewed, scores of depositions were taken and defended, and over two dozen discovery motions were litigated. All the while, Class Counsel operated under the processes and procedures of this Court’s “rocket-docket,” albeit modified some here to accommodate the size and scope of the undertaking. These efforts brought the case through class certification, cross motions for summary judgment and *Daubert* challenges, and ultimately led to one of the largest data breach settlements in history. In recognition of those efforts, Class Counsel request a fee award of 33.3% of the \$190,000,000.00 Settlement Fund they secured for the Settlement Class, or \$63,270,000.00, as well as reimbursement of reasonable litigation costs and expenses of \$2,325,516.11. Plaintiffs also request service awards of \$5,000 for each of the 8 Settlement Class Representatives and the 9 other MDL Plaintiffs (that is, other plaintiffs named in cases making up this MDL) who were deposed by Capital One.

A. Overview of the Litigation

5. On July 29, 2019, Capital One announced that the sensitive personal information of approximately 98 million Americans who had applied for Capital One credit cards had been

stolen by a malicious criminal hacker from Amazon's AWS cloud where Capital One stored this information (the "Data Breach"). Affected individuals across the country immediately began filing class action lawsuits against Capital One and Amazon. Ultimately, more than 60 such lawsuits were filed. In October 2019, the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation consolidated and transferred these lawsuits to this Court, the location of Capital One's headquarters.

6. On December 2, 2019, after review of over 30 applications for plaintiffs' counsel leadership, the Court appointed the undersigned counsel as Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel. Doc. 210 (Pretrial Order #3). With our appointment, we immediately began preparation of a detailed proposed discovery plan, exchanged initial written discovery with Capital One, reached agreement on a proposed schedule for the litigation, negotiated an ESI protocol and crafted and negotiated search terms for ESI discovery, and negotiated a protective order. Docs. 270, 312, 329.

7. On March 2, 2020, after extensive factual investigation and legal research and the vetting and selection of appropriate named plaintiffs ("Plaintiffs"), Plaintiffs filed a 91-page Representative Complaint, which the Court approved (Doc. 302) as the vehicle for litigating the Plaintiffs' claims (the "Representative Complaint"). The Representative Complaint named Plaintiffs from the states of California, Florida, New York, Texas, Virginia, and Washington, asserting representative common law claims on behalf of a nationwide class against Capital One and Amazon for negligence, negligence per se, unjust enrichment, breach of express and implied contract, and declaratory judgment, and state statutory claims under state data breach notification and consumer protection statutes on behalf of state subclasses. Doc. 332, Doc. 354 (corrected).

8. On April 10, 2020, Capital One and Amazon each filed motions to dismiss the Representative Complaint in its entirety. Docs. 386, 389. Defendants' primary focus in these motions was arguing that Plaintiffs had not alleged legally-cognizable harms arising out of the

Data Breach and that Defendants were not the proximate cause of any such harms. Defendants further argued that Virginia law does not recognize a duty of care in tort to safeguard personal information. Docs. 387, 390. Plaintiffs filed extensive opposition briefing and the motions were fully briefed in just over one month. *See* Docs. 426, 427 (Plaintiffs' Memoranda in Opposition); Docs. 463, 464 (Defendants' Replies).

9. On May 27, 2020, the Court heard nearly five hours of oral argument on Defendants' motions to dismiss. *See* Doc. 494. On September 18, 2020, the Court issued an extensive ruling largely denying the motions. Doc. 879. However, extensive briefing related to Plaintiffs' allegations continued for months thereafter. On October 2, 2020, Capital One asked the Court to reconsider one of its rulings—that Plaintiffs had sufficiently alleged Capital One assumed a duty of care to them in tort under Virginia law, and alternatively asked the Court to certify this question to the Virginia Supreme Court. Doc. 916. Plaintiffs submitted opposition briefing, and the Court denied the motion for reconsideration. Doc. 934 (Plaintiffs' Opposition); *see also* Doc. 951 (Joinder by Amazon); Doc. 965 (Capital One's Reply); Doc. 1059 (Order denying). Later, after concluding Virginia law applied as to all Plaintiffs' common law claims (Doc. 1293; *see also* Doc. 879 at 9), the Court granted Capital One's request to certify the question of tort duty to the Virginia Supreme Court (Doc. 1291). The Virginia Supreme Court subsequently declined to accept the certified question. Doc. 1380. On October 16, 2020, Defendants each filed Answers. Docs. 953, 955. On October 30, 2020, Capital One moved for judgment on the pleadings on Plaintiffs' unjust enrichment and implied contract claims (Doc. 996), which Plaintiffs opposed. Doc. 1032 (Plaintiffs' Opposition); *see also* Doc. 1060 (Capital One's Reply). After a hearing, the Court denied the motion. Doc. 1096 (12/09/2020 Hr'g Tr.); Doc. 1290 (Order denying).

10. Meanwhile, as motion practice related to Plaintiffs' allegations was underway and the global COVID-19 pandemic forced the case to be litigated remotely, we were engaged in a massive, time-consuming discovery effort. Plaintiffs served several rounds of written discovery on Defendants and eighteen third-party subpoenas, including six subpoenas to former Capital One employees, and reviewed over 350,000 documents—totaling nearly 3 million pages—produced by Defendants and nearly 7,500 documents—totaling an additional 50,000 pages—produced by third parties. *See* (Doc. 2219-4 ¶ 20). Lawyers in our firms also took 33 depositions of Defendants' fact witnesses, 13 depositions of Defendants' Rule 30(b)(6) witnesses, and two third-party depositions. *Id.* In addition, we answered Defendants' written discovery requests, which involved searches of Plaintiffs' electronic documents in addition to the collection and review of physical documents. Plaintiffs ultimately produced nearly 1,750 documents totaling over 7,500 pages in 54 document productions after collecting and reviewing over 145,000 documents from 24 custodians. *Id.* at ¶ 21. Furthermore, discovery involved the completion and collection of a verified "Fact Sheet," including ten pages of questions and eight document requests, to MDL Plaintiffs (that is, those plaintiffs named in cases in the MDL). Ultimately, we submitted verified Fact Sheets and responsive documents for 101 MDL Plaintiffs, while 147 MDL Plaintiffs chose to dismiss their pending complaints without prejudice.¹ *Id.* Between May and November, 2020, each of the Plaintiffs sat for remote depositions. In addition, Defendants deposed nine other MDL Plaintiffs. *Id.* at ¶ 22. In total, Class Counsel defended 17 plaintiff depositions. *Id.*²

¹ Of the 101 MDL Plaintiffs who submitted verified Fact Sheets and documents, an additional 32 eventually chose to dismiss their pending complaints without prejudice.

² The preliminary approval filings incorrectly state this number as eighteen. However, Defendants ultimately took depositions of just nine MDL Plaintiffs, plus the eight Representative Plaintiffs, for a total of seventeen.

11. That offensive and defensive discovery was spurred on by significant motion practice before Judge Anderson, much of which resulted in favorable rulings for Plaintiffs and the production of important evidence for Plaintiffs' case. Indeed, from the very commencement of the case, we litigated multiple disputes concerning the form of the stipulated protective order, *see* (Docs. 286, 287, 304) & (Docs. 341, 349, 350, & 364), and the ESI Protocol (Docs. 351, 352, 362, 372, 373). The discovery battles also included several rounds of motions regarding Capital One's assertion of the bank examination privilege over thousands of documents, which involved contested briefing and argument from the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. Motion practice regarding Defendants' assertion of the attorney-client privilege and work product claims involved review of Defendants' privilege logs which consisted of thousands of lines of Excel spreadsheets, months of meet and confers, and repeated iterations of additional logging from Defendants.

12. Specifically, as demonstrated by the charts attached as Exhibit A, over two dozen discovery motions were filed by the parties in total—not accounting for attendant motions to seal (which were extensive and ultimately resulted in a full day hearing on multiple sealing issues before Judge Anderson), or Rule 72 objections and motions for reconsideration. Plaintiffs filed some 19 discovery related motions, and the vast majority of these motions were briefed and decided on the Court's required one-week schedule. Motions were typically filed on a Friday; responses were due on the following Wednesday; replies on Thursday; and a hearing on Friday.

13. Expert discovery was similarly intensive. Beginning in August 2019, we engaged numerous experts, including five disclosed testifying experts, to develop opinions for class certification and trial. Dr. Stuart E. Madnick, of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, rendered opinions as to the mechanism and root causes of the Data Breach, how the Data Breach

should have been prevented, and how the risk of further breaches can be mediated going forward. Kevin Mitnick, an expert in “black hat” and “white hat” hacking, explained how the types of personal information stolen in the Data Breach are misused to cause harm and the present risk of continuing harm to victims of the Data Breach. Gary Olsen, a CPA and appraisal expert, and Terry Long, an actuary, developed opinions relating to Plaintiffs’ and class members’ damages. Brian Kelley gave opinions concerning the relation between and among Capital One’s contracts with applicants and cardholders, Capital One’s cybersecurity policies and practices, and legal and regulatory requirements governing Capital One’s protection of customer personal information. These experts were ultimately disclosed, with full reports, on March 21, 2021. Several of them also drafted supplemental or rebuttal reports, and all sat for at least one deposition. Both Defendants designated numerous experts as well, each of whom Plaintiffs deposed. (Doc. 2219-4 at ¶ 23).

14. After discovery closed in late 2020 and expert disclosures were completed in the spring of 2021, on April 28, 2021, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Class Certification, seeking certification of a nationwide class of approximately 98 million Americans. Docs. 1259, 1261. This motion was fully briefed on June 18, 2021. *See* Doc. 1443 (Capital One’s Opposition); Doc. 1435 (Amazon’s Opposition); Doc. 1558 (Plaintiffs’ Reply as to Capital One); Doc. 1571 (Plaintiffs’ Reply as to Amazon). Defendants each filed several *Daubert* challenges related to Plaintiffs’ class certification motion, which Plaintiffs opposed, and which were fully briefed by July 2, 2021. *See* Docs. 1389, 1390, 1394, 1395, 1397, 1398, 1427, 1428, 1431, 1432 (Defendants’ motions to exclude and memoranda in support); Docs. 1528, 1534, 1540, 1546, 1552 (Plaintiffs’ Oppositions); Docs. 1607, 1609, 1611, 1633, 1647 (Defendants’ Replies). Plaintiffs also moved to exclude one of Capital One’s experts related to Plaintiffs’ Motion for Class Certification. *See* Docs. 1559-60.

15. During the briefing on class certification, Capital One challenged the Court's jurisdiction over the case, arguing no Plaintiff could prove that their injuries were caused by Capital One as Capital One contended that the alleged hacker, Paige Thompson, had not disseminated the personal information stolen in the Data Breach before her arrest. *See* Docs. 1385-86. Capital One's jurisdictional challenge ultimately resulted in several rounds of briefing in which we opposed the premise of Capital One's contention that the Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate the case depended on the resolution of what were undisputedly merits issues. *See* Doc. 1502 (Plaintiffs' Opposition); Doc. 1513 (Capital One's Reply); Doc. 1653 (Capital One's Supplemental Memorandum regarding *TransUnion v. Ramirez*); Doc. 1721 (Plaintiffs' Response); Doc. 1727 (Amazon's Joinder); Doc. 1780 (Capital One's Supplemental Brief challenging the Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate Plaintiffs' contract and unjust enrichment claims); Doc. 1871 (Plaintiffs' Response); Doc. 1921 (Capital One's Reply); Docs. 2041, 2042, 2052, 2074, 2075, 2138, 2150, 2151 (filings related to supplemental authorities regarding jurisdictional challenge).

16. Lawyers from our firms argued before the Court during a two-day hearing on July 12 and 13, 2021, on Plaintiffs' Motion for Class Certification, the various related *Daubert* challenges, and Capital One's challenge to the Court's jurisdiction to adjudicate Plaintiffs' tort and statutory claims. *See* Docs. 1745, 1747; Docs. 1901-1902 (7/12-13/21 Hr'g Tr.). Soon thereafter, briefing on dispositive motions commenced. On June 3, 2021, Capital One filed its motion for summary judgment seeking judgment on each of Plaintiffs' claims on several bases. Capital One's principal argument was that Plaintiffs could not prove they were harmed by Capital One because they could not prove the hacker, Paige Thompson, further disseminated the personal information stolen in the Data Breach before her arrest. *See* Docs. 1460, 1463. Plaintiffs filed extensive opposition briefing, contending there was substantial evidence from which a jury could conclude

Plaintiffs' personal information was further disseminated beyond Thompson and that they had suffered compensable damages resulting from Capital One's failure to protect their personal information. *See* Doc. 1807.

17. On July 2, 2021, we moved for partial summary judgment on Plaintiffs' claims for breach of express and implied contract against Capital One. *See* Docs. 1646, 1649. On the same day, Amazon moved for summary judgment on each of Plaintiffs' claims, arguing it owed no duty of care to them and that it could not be liable to them for unjust enrichment and under the asserted state statutes, to which Plaintiffs submitted a detailed opposition. *See* Docs. 1678, 1693, 1820. Each of these motions was also accompanied by sealing motions that had separate, additional briefing.

18. The summary judgment motions were fully briefed on August 23, 2021. Capital One and Amazon also filed additional *Daubert* motions in connection with summary judgment, which Plaintiffs opposed. Docs. 1658, 1675, 1828, 1840. Plaintiffs also filed a motion to exclude the testimony of one of Capital One's experts related to summary judgment. *See* Docs. 1638, 1640. These *Daubert* motions were also fully briefed on August 23, 2021. On September 30, 2021, we appeared for a full-day summary judgment hearing, including additional argument on Capital One's jurisdictional challenge. Doc. 2027; 9/30/21 Hr'g Tr. At the time of the settlement, the motions for class certification, summary judgment, and to exclude expert testimony were fully submitted to the Court and under advisement.

B. Mediation and Settlement

19. Parallel to their litigation of the Actions, the Parties engaged in arm's-length settlement negotiations beginning in March 2020. The negotiations were first overseen by former United States District Court Judge Layn R. Phillips and later overseen by United States District

Judge Leonie M. Brinkema. The Parties engaged in four mediation sessions, on March 21, 2020, November 18, 2020, April 16, 2021, and August 3, 2021, with Judge Brinkema presiding over the last three conferences. We also engaged in scores of direct conferences with opposing counsel and joint communications with Judge Brinkema to assist on particular issues that arose in these negotiations. On December 17, 2021, the Parties executed a binding term sheet, to be superseded by the Settlement Agreement. (Doc. 2219-4 at ¶ 30).

20. While the negotiations were professional throughout, they were marked by significant factual and legal disputes impacting the value of the case. From our perspective, the hard work through discovery and motion practice framed the key issues for both sides, positioned the case for settlement, and—with Judge Brinkema’s assistance—the Parties were able to reach a resolution. At all times the negotiations were made at arm’s length, and free of collusion of any kind, and many times uncertain to end in compromise. The subject of attorneys’ fees was not discussed in any manner until the Parties had reached agreement on the material terms of the settlement, including the payment of the Settlement Fund. *Id.* ¶ 31.

C. The Terms of the Proposed Settlement

21. The proposed Settlement Class is defined as follows:

The approximately 98 million U.S. residents identified by Capital One whose information was compromised in the Data Breach that Capital One announced on July 29, 2019, as reflected in the Class List.

Excluded from the Settlement Class are (i) Capital One, any entity in which Capital One has a controlling interest, and Capital One’s officers, directors, legal representatives, Successors, Subsidiaries, and assigns; (ii) any judge, justice, or judicial officer presiding over the Action and the members of their immediate families and judicial staff; and (iii) any individual who timely and validly opts out of the Settlement Class. (Doc. 2219-1, § 2.39).

22. Capital One will pay \$190 million into a settlement fund for class benefits, notice and administration costs, fees, expenses, and service awards to certain Plaintiffs. *Id.*, § 3. No portion of the settlement funds will revert to Capital One. *Id.* The specific benefits available to Settlement Class Members are detailed in the proposed Consumer Settlement Benefits Plan (Doc. 2219-2), and include:

- Reimbursement for up to \$25,000 in “Out-of-Pocket Losses”, which are verifiable unreimbursed costs or expenditures that a Settlement Class Member actually incurred and that are fairly traceable to the Data Breach.
- Compensation for “Lost Time”, which is time spent remedying fraud, identity theft, or other misuse of a Settlement Class Member’s personal information that is fairly traceable to the Data Breach and time spent taking preventative measures to avoid such losses. Lost Time will be paid at the “Reimbursement Rate”, which shall be the greater of \$25 per hour, or time off work at the Settlement Class Member’s documented hourly wage.
- At least three years of Identity Defense Services provided by Pango.
- Further, Pango will make available to all Settlement Class Members, even those who do not enroll in Identity Defense Services or do not submit a claim, access to fraud resolution and identity restoration support (“Restoration Services”) for at least three years.

(Doc. 2219-2; 2219-8).

23. Capital One has also agreed to entry of a consent order requiring at least two years of Business Practice Changes and commitments to improve its cybersecurity through the implementation of a Cyber Event Action Plan. The agreed Business Practice Changes are detailed in Exhibit 2 to the Settlement Agreement (Doc. 2219-1 at 46-49) and ¶ 39 of Class Counsel’s Declaration in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval And to Direct Notice of Proposed Settlement to Class, (Doc. 2219-4). The agreed Business Practice Changes are subject to confirmatory discovery and review by Plaintiffs’ expert Dr. Stuart Madnick in advance of Plaintiffs’ motion for final approval.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Attorneys' Fees

24. Class Counsel's application seeks a percentage-of-fund, which is consistent with the law in this and other circuits. As explained below, we believe consideration of the factors set forth in *In re Genworth Fin. Sec. Litig.*, 210 F. Supp. 3d 837, 843 (E.D. Va. 2016) demonstrate the reasonableness of Class Counsel's requested fee of 33.3% of the fund. We also believe the reasonableness of the requested fee is confirmed by cross-checking the requested amount against the calculated lodestar.

25. (1) Results Achieved for the Class: Simply stated, we believe our zealous, effective, and efficient prosecution of this case through fact and expert discovery, and full briefing and argument on class certification, *Daubert* motions, and summary judgment, resulted in an outstanding result for the Class, and the second largest data breach settlement to date. Settlement Class Members are entitled to benefits that are tailored to the relief sought through the litigation: recovery of up to \$25,000 in Out-of-Pocket Losses; payment for Lost Time spent dealing with the Data Breach; at least three years of Identity Defense Services to help detect and remediate potential identity theft and fraud; and at least three years of Restoration Services including access to U.S.-based specialists in fraud resolution and identity restoration available to all Settlement Class Members without making a claim. Capital One's agreed Business Practice Changes are likewise an important benefit flowing to Settlement Class Members, whose sensitive personal information may still reside at Capital One. The Settlement also eliminates the risk of adverse rulings on class certification, summary judgment, at trial, or on appeal. Balanced against the many significant risks, we believe the settlement value here is objectively an exceptional result for the Class and supports Class Counsel's request for attorneys' fees.

26. (2) Objections to the Requested Fee: The Court-approved notice informed Settlement Class Members that Co-Lead Counsel would request attorneys' fees not to exceed 35% of the Settlement Fund (as well as reimbursement of litigation expenses, and service awards for the Class Representatives). Doc. 2219-6 at 15, 20, 24, 26. Although the deadline for objections is not until July 7, 2022, to date no objections have been submitted to the requested fee.³ We therefore anticipate responding to any objections prior to final approval.

27. (3) Skill and Efficiency of Representation. We respectfully believe the quality of the representation delivered here is another significant factor supporting Class Counsel's fee request. As reflected by the leadership application process—including some 37 applications for leadership⁴ and objections thereto⁵—and this Court's Order Appointing Plaintiffs' Lead Counsel, Doc. 210, Class Counsel have substantial experience litigating complex class actions and, specifically, data breach cases.⁶ Together, we have litigated dozens of data breach cases—including many of the largest data breach cases litigated to date—all of which informed our representation of the Class here. The quality of our representation manifested in the creation of the \$190,000,000.00 Settlement Fund and is the simplest reflection of our skill and expertise in the field. Moreover, we note that Defendants here were represented by highly skilled and experienced litigators from some of the leading defense law firms in the world—King & Spalding (for Capital One) and Fenwick (for Amazon)—both of which are ranked among the Vault Law 100 for most

³ On June 14, 2022, a putative class member filed a purported generalized objection to the settlement (*see* Doc. 2228), but because the putative class member opted out of the Settlement Class, the objection is invalid.

⁴ *See* Docs. 106–111, 114, 118, 120–126, 129–133, 135–137, 140–142, 144–150, 153–155, 176.

⁵ *See* Docs. 201–204.

⁶ *See* Applications of Siegel-Riebel-Yanchunis Slate and exhibits thereto, Docs. 135, 136, and 140.

prestigious law firms (<https://firsthand.co/best-companies-to-work-for/law/top-100-law-firms-rankings>) and have extensive experience advising corporate defendants in data breach litigation. We respectfully believe our ability to match the resources of the Defendants and their lawyers is a significant indication of the quality of representation to the Class here and further supports our requested fee.

28. (4) Complexity and Duration of the Litigation: As demonstrated elsewhere in this Declaration, this case was heavily litigated—likely the most heavily litigated data breach case in history—including scores of depositions, dozens of discovery motions, and millions of pages of document review, and at a significant pace. Since filing the Representative Complaint (Doc. 332) in March 2020, we (1) fully briefed and defeated Defendants’ motions to dismiss, (2) completed all fact and expert discovery under an aggressive schedule, (3) litigated numerous discovery and sealing motions before Judge Anderson; (4) fully briefed and argued Plaintiffs’ class certification motion and related *Daubert* challenges; (5) fully briefed and argued Defendants’ summary judgment motions; and (6) fully briefed and argued merits *Daubert* motions, among other things. Likewise, Defendants fervently defended this case. We therefore believe the complexity and duration of this case strongly support the reasonableness of Class Counsel’s fee request.

29. (5) Risks of Nonpayment: We believe the numerous risks of the litigation are apparent from the dense record before the Court and, as discussed elsewhere, this case presented unique challenges with respect to issues like causation, certification, damages, and Article III standing. Defendants spared no effort in attempting to defeat the case in its entirety, and the risk of no recovery was high. Moreover, we continuously considered these risks while evaluating settlement—declining several smaller offers of settlement to advance the litigation to the next stage and taking on more risk of not recovering at all. We believe it was our willingness to take on this

risk at successive stages of the litigation that put us in a position to maximize recovery for the Class, and this factor should therefore support the requested fee. Moreover, Class Counsel undertook this case on a wholly contingent basis and ran a substantial risk of no recovery whatsoever. Numerous potential adverse outcomes at different points in the case would have resulted in Class Counsel not recovering for any of the substantial time, money, and effort that were needed to secure the Settlement. Additionally, Class Counsel self-funded \$2,325,516.11 in total expenses to prosecute the litigation, which would not have been reimbursed absent a successful result. Thus, the risks that counsel incurred in prosecuting this case were substantial and further support the requested fee award.

30. (6) Amount of Time Devoted to the Case: As detailed below, Class Counsel devoted considerable time and effort researching, investigating, and prosecuting this case. As detailed below, through May 31, 2022, Class Counsel devoted 64,739.3 hours to prosecuting this case, resulting in a total lodestar of \$37,640,583.50. Class Counsel could have spent those attorney hours litigating other matters, which weighs in favor of awarding the requested fees.

31. (7) Awards in Similar Cases: We carefully considered the fee request here and ultimately determined 33.3% fee is fair, appropriate, and reasonable given consideration of other factors discussed above and the fact that 33.3% is squarely in line with other awards in this district and Circuit discussed extensively in the accompanying Motion. Moreover, to the extent the Court believes the requested percentage to be above average (or for additional support for the reasonableness of the 33.3% requested) we believe this case easily satisfies the “novel and complex” standard often used to “justify higher-than-typical fees”. *Good v. W. Virginia-Am. Water Co.*, No. 14-1374, 2017 WL 2884535, at *25 (S.D.W. Va. July 6, 2017). As explained elsewhere in this declaration and the Motion, and as the Court is well-aware from overseeing the litigation,

this case was marked by the number of novel and complex issues that are infrequently litigated. Matching common law theories to modern technological harm caused by data breaches is particularly challenging and involves high risk disputes over unsettled law, much of which unfolded before the Court through extensive briefing on the motion to dismiss, motions for summary judgment, motion for class certification and motions challenging Article III standing. We believe this case was particularly novel and complex (even for a data breach case) and respectfully submit consideration of this factor supports the requested fee.

32. In addition to the *In re Genworth* factors, we believe consideration of additional benchmarks strongly support the requested fee. For example, some courts evaluate the percentages that would be negotiated if the contingency arrangement was made at arm's length with the plaintiffs, that is "[t]he percentage-of-the-fund method of awarding attorneys' fees in class actions should approximate the fee which would be negotiated if the lawyer were offering his or her services in the private marketplace." *In re Remeron Direct Purchaser Antitrust Litig.*, No. CIV.03-0085 FSH, 2005 WL 3008808, at *16 (D.N.J. Nov. 9, 2005). Class Counsel regularly negotiates contingency fee arrangements with both individuals and sophisticated businesses with fees that amount to one-third the recovery, and in high-risk cases often substantially more. Thus, consideration of real-world contingency arrangements and the actual contingency fee arrangements used by Class Counsel supports the requested award of 33.3% of the Settlement Fund.

33. Moreover, the nonmonetary, business practice changes are an important part of the settlement and should also be considered in setting the fee, whether as a "bonus" percentage or further supporting the customary request here as reasonable. The business practice changes

negotiated here were a critical component to the Settlement because they compel Capital One to maintain improved data security, thereby materially reducing the risk of another data breach.

Consideration of the Lodestar Supports the Requested Fee

34. Some courts supplement their analysis of the percentage-of-fund method with the lodestar cross-check to determine whether a proposed fee award is excessive relative to the hours reportedly worked by counsel, or whether the fee is within some reasonable multiplier of the lodestar. We respectfully submit that a lodestar cross-check in this case easily supports the requested fee. Despite the risks, complexities, and challenges posed by this litigation, Class Counsel and other lawyers working at the direction of Class Counsel invested 64,739.3 hours of attorney and other professional time on behalf of the Class from case inception through May 31, 2022.

35. At the outset of the case Class Counsel implemented a rigorous timekeeping process. All law firms that filed complaints that were consolidated in the MDL were directed to submit contemporaneously recorded time and expenses incurred in the case to Class Counsel monthly consistent with Pretrial Order No. 1. Doc. 3 at 6-7. A copy of the billing protocol established by Class Counsel and sent to all firms is attached to this Declaration as Exhibit B. Class Counsel received and reviewed all contemporaneous time submitted from all plaintiffs' counsel on a monthly basis. Inadequate or incomplete time entries, or time that was not performed at the direction of Co-Lead Counsel, or reports that did not meet the billing protocol were eliminated or returned for correction. The complete time records are available for the Court's *in camera* review upon request.

36. With respect to document review and coding work, which was capped at an hourly rate of \$377 per hour, Class Counsel checked document review hours submitted by each firm

against metrics available from the document review platform we used (Relativity), to make certain that hours billed by each attorney-reviewer matched time logged into and working on the platform. In the very rare instance where the hours reported and the platform metrics did not match, the discrepancy was addressed with the reporting law firm and recorded time reduced as necessary.

37. In preparation for Plaintiffs' fee request, Class Counsel spent two days in Minneapolis in April 2022 reviewing time entries submitted in the case, including time and expenses submitted by Co-Lead firms. Each Plaintiffs' firm's time and expense submission was reviewed by at least two attorneys from two different Co-Lead firms. All time and expenses submitted by the Co-Lead firms were reviewed by at least one lawyer from a different Co-Lead firm. In evaluating the time entries submitted by other law firms, Class Counsel looked to assure that the time was non-duplicative and performed at the direction of Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel, who also audited and confirmed the validity of all law firm's expense submissions and removed unapproved expenses where appropriate. Time and expenses that were excessive or were not consistent with the billing protocol were disallowed, and not considered in this submission.⁷

38. After reviewing and vetting all time records and ensuring that the work recorded was not duplicative, unnecessary, or not performed at the direction of Co-Lead Counsel, the total recorded lodestar for all firms is \$37,640,583.50. Of this amount, \$33,018,509.30 (approximately 88%) was reported by Class Counsel. With respect to the lodestar submitted by non-lead firms, the time reflects work performed at the direction of Co-Lead Counsel (or in some instances, was performed before appointment of Co-Lead Counsel but was determined to have advanced the

⁷ Approximately 5,000 hours were eliminated from consideration, mostly consisting of pre-appointment time that was not considered material to the advancement of the litigation.

litigation) including document review and plaintiff communications. A chart reflecting the lodestar for each firm is attached as Exhibit C.⁸

39. Each Co-Lead Counsel attests that the rates charged by the lawyers and staff in their firm is reasonable, based on each person's position and experience level.⁹ Lead Counsel capped the hourly rate of attorneys conducting first-level document review at the lawyer's regular hourly rate or \$377, whichever was lower and regardless of the experience of the reviewer. Each Co-Lead Counsel further affirms that (1) the rates submitted with this declaration are based on rate scales each Co-Lead Counsel has submitted and Courts have approved in other contingency cases and (2) and the rates reported here are the rates charged to hourly-paying clients of the Co-Lead firms that undertake hourly work.

40. Based on our collective experience and knowledge of the legal market, including the market for hiring lawyers engaged in complex litigation, the rates reflected in Exhibit C are comparable to or lower than the rates charged by other law firms with similar experience, expertise, and reputation, for similar services in the nation's leading legal markets. Importantly, the rates are consistent with and likely lower than rates currently charged by national defense firms such as King & Spalding and Fenwick. For example, the 2017 NLJ Billing Report published by the National Law Journal—collecting data from five years ago—identifies King & Spalding partner

⁸ Co-Lead Counsel will distribute any fee awarded by the Court based on the overall contribution to the result achieved. The lodestar reported by each firm will be a material, but not exclusive consideration in distributing any fee award.

⁹ Class Counsel conservatively applied rates in place as of 2021 rather than current 2022 rates. Applying current rates (which is in accord with Fourth Circuit guidance deeming it appropriate to do so to account for the risk and delay in payment) would result in a higher lodestar and a lower multiplier. *See Daly v. Hill*, 790 F.2d 1071, 1081 (4th Cir. 1986); *Reaching Hearts Int'l, Inc. v. Prince George's Cty.*, 478 F. App'x 54, 60 (4th Cir. 2012). Class Counsel will provide this additional analysis upon the Court's request.

hourly rates ranging from \$775 to \$1,435 with an average of \$1,000; and associate hourly rates ranging from \$525 to \$790. A true and correct copy of the 2017 NLJ Billing Report is attached as Exhibit D.

41. Considering Class Counsel's lodestar of \$37,640,583.50, 33.3% of the Settlement Fund would result in a fee award of \$63,270,000.00, which would reflect a current multiplier of 1.68. Such a multiplier is well within accepted ranges for class actions generally, and is consistent with ranges approved in similar cases within the Fourth Circuit. Further, the lodestar figure above does not include the substantial amount of time that Class Counsel will be required to devote to achieving final approval, responding to any objections, overseeing the claims administration process and the distribution of settlement funds to the Class, and litigating any appeals. These additional hours, for which Class Counsel will not receive any additional compensation from the Settlement Fund, effectively reduce the multiplier, and should be considered in evaluating the reasonableness of the fee request. Given the size of the settlement, the number of class members, and the range of relief offered, we expect that we will incur thousands of hours of additional time finalizing this Settlement.

Plaintiffs' Motion for Reimbursement of Expenses

42. Class Counsel also request reimbursement of reasonable and necessary litigation costs and expenses in the amount of \$2,325,516.11. Like the time submission process discussed above, all expenses were reviewed by Class Counsel to ensure conformity with the billing protocol, and all expenses incurred were reasonable and necessary, and expended in furtherance of the litigation.

43. Class Counsel's costs and expenses are summarized in Exhibit C and are the same costs that Counsel would normally charge a monthly-paying client. The vast majority of these

costs and expenses relate to expert witnesses, depositions, and discovery-related matters. Accordingly, Class Counsel's request for the reimbursement of \$2,325,516.11 in expenses from the Settlement Fund (as supplemented by any additional expenses incurred before final approval) is reasonable and should be approved.

Plaintiffs' Service Awards

44. Consistent with the law in this Circuit, Plaintiffs also request approval for a \$5,000 service award for each of the 8 Settlement Class Representatives and the 9 other MDL Plaintiffs who were deposed by Capital One. Here, the 8 Representative Plaintiffs and the 9 other MDL Plaintiffs who were deposed by Capital One have fulfilled their duties to the class, making the requested service awards appropriate. Specifically, these Plaintiffs made themselves available to Class Counsel to assist with the investigation into their claims. These Plaintiffs responded to discovery requests propounded by Defendants, including numerous interrogatories and document requests or to the extensive Fact Sheets described above, and had full day depositions taken. The 8 Representative Plaintiffs also considered and approved the terms of the proposed settlement agreement as in the best interests of the class after extensive review and discussion with Co-Lead Counsel. We therefore respectfully request that the Court award these Plaintiffs the reasonable and typical service awards in the amount of \$5,000 each.

We declare under penalty of perjury pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 16th day of June, 2022.

/s/ Norman E. Siegel

Norman E. Siegel

/s/ Karen Hanson Riebel

Karen Hanson Riebel

/s/ John Yanchunis

John Yanchunis

Exhibit

A

Plaintiffs’ Motions

	Motion	Date Filed	Key Related Docket Entries
1	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of Mandiant Report and Related Materials	04/27/2020	Docs. 412, 413, 435, 445, 490
2	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Discovery from Amazon	05/15/2020	Docs. 454, 455, 472, 480, 483
3	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of Documents Withheld on the Basis of the Bank Examination Privilege	05/22/2020	Docs. 485, 486, 510, 511, 513, 533, 534, 540
4	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of Documents Withheld by Intervenors the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System and Office of the Comptroller of the Currency on the Basis of Bank Examination Privilege (Due to Inadequate Privilege Logs)	07/10/2020	Docs. 685, 686, 713, 714, 717
5	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of PriceWaterhouseCoopers Report	08/07/2020	Docs. 759, 760, 786, 800, 804
6	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Text Message Discovery from Amazon	08/07/2020	Docs. 769, 770, 780, 781, 783
7	Plaintiffs’ Second Motion to Compel PriceWaterhouseCoopers Report	09/11/2020	Docs. 855, 856, 862, 865, 869
8	Plaintiffs’ Motion for Additional Time to Depose Witnesses Houston Hopkins and Michael Johnson	09/18/2020	Docs. 875, 876, 888, 890, 895, 902
9	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Testimony Improperly Excluded on the Basis of the Bank Examination Privilege	10/09/2020	Docs. 930–32, 946, 949, 950, 954
10	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Production of Documents Withheld and Redacted Pursuant to Bank Examination Privilege	10/30/2020	Docs. 982, 983, 1000, 1001, 1015, 1021
11	Plaintiffs Motion to Compel Discovery from the Capital One Defendants (Concerning Alert Case Summaries and Contextual Data)	10/30/2020	Docs. 990, 991, 1002, 1016, 1022
12	Plaintiffs’ Motion for Clarification of the Scheduling Order Regarding the Fact Discovery Deadline	11/13/2020	Docs. 1034, 1035, 1044, 1045, 1055
13	Plaintiffs’ Motion to Compel Discovery from Amazon Defendants (regarding other impacted customers, and AWS services provided to Capital One)	11/20/2020	Docs. 1051, 1052, 1067, 1075, 1079

	Motion	Date Filed	Key Related Docket Entries
14	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Rule 30(b)(6) Deposition Testimony on Remediation	02/12/2021	Docs. 1177, 1179, 1188, 1197, 1202
15	Plaintiffs' Motion to Exclude Amazon's Untimely Produced Document	02/26/2021	Docs. 1213, 1214, 1218, 1219, 1223
16	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Discovery Regarding Capital One's Statute of Limitations and Terms & Conditions Defenses	10/29/2021	Docs. 2059, 2060, 2081, 2094, 2130
17	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Answer to Interrogatory No. 22	11/05/2021	Docs. 2096, 2097, 2114, 2119, 2147

Cross Motions

	Motion	Date Filed	Key Related Docket Entries
1	Capital One's and Plaintiffs' cross motions concerning discovery related to Capital One's Use of Customer Data for Profit	01/15/2021	Docs. 1122, 1123, 1132, 1138, 1140, 1141, 1146, 1149, 1156
2	Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel Production of a Section of a Supervisory Letter from the OCC for Good Cause, and Capital One's cross Motion to Enforce Protective Order and to Strike	05/14/2021	Docs. 1314, 1316, 1318, 1320, 1339, 1343, 1346, 1358, 1360, 1376

Capital One's Motions

	Motion / Issue	Date Filed	Key Related Docket Entries
1	Capital One's Motion to Compel Discovery Responses from All Plaintiffs	04/10/2020	Docs. 383, 384, 400, 401, 404
2	Capital One's Motion to Compel Fact Sheets	06/19/2020	Docs. 599, 600, 635, 642
3	Capital One's Motion to Limit Nonparty, Nonexpert Depositions, and to Allow Defendants to Depose Named Plaintiffs (requesting that all plaintiffs named in any MDL-member complaint be subject to deposition, but that Capital One employees not be considered "parties" for purposes of the limits on depositions)	07/24/2020	Docs. 722, 723, 736, 740, 743, 758
4	Capital One's Motion for Protective Order Regarding March 5, 2019 Severity 1 Incident	09/04/2020	Docs. 820, 821, 842, 849, 853
5	Capital One's Motion for Protective Order Regarding FBI Data	02/12/2021	Docs. 1181, 1184, 1204, 1206
6	Capital One's Motion for Protective Order Regarding 30(b)(6) Deposition on Remediation	05/14/2021	Docs. 1322, 1323, 1348, 1353, 1363

Amazon's Motion

	Motion	Date Filed	Key Related Docket Entries
1	Amazon's Motion to Compel Deposition Testimony or Alternatively, for Additional Time to Depose Plaintiffs' Expert Stuart Madnick	04/09/2021	Doc. 1235, 1236, 1246, 1247, 1248, 1251

Exhibit B

Karen Hanson Riebel
khriegel@locklaw.com
Direct: 612.596.4097



MINNEAPOLIS
Suite 2200
100 Washington Avenue South
Minneapolis, MN 55401-2179
T 612.339.6900
F 612.339.0981

February 26, 2020

All Plaintiff's Counsel

Re: *In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation*
No. 19-md-2915 (E.D. Va.)

Dear Counsel:

As you know, the Court recently appointed Norman Siegel, John Yanchunis and me Co-Lead Counsel in the referenced litigation. Our responsibilities include monitoring time and expenses accrued by all Plaintiffs' counsel in this case.

In keeping with other cases before Judge Trenga, and consistent with our discussion with him at the Initial Status Conference on January 29, 2020, all time spent and expenses incurred in connection with this litigation must be recorded on a daily basis. All work undertaken in this litigation must be expressly authorized by Co-Lead Counsel.

To facilitate the monitoring of time and expenses, each firm must submit detailed monthly time and expenses. All time should be reported at your regular billing rates in effect at your firm during the particular month(s) for which you are reporting. However, time reviewing and coding documents must be capped at the lesser of the timekeeper's regular rate or \$377.00 per hour. Reports for the preceding month will be due on the 15th day of the next month (or next business day if the 15th day is a weekend or holiday) and should be sent via email to Carey Johnson at crjohnson@locklaw.com.

Your first report is due March 15, 2020, and should include all time and expenses from inception of the case through February 29, 2020. Please note that we cannot and do not represent that pre-leadership appointment time (time expended prior to December 2, 2019), or any time for that matter, will be allowed by the Court or even submitted to the Court. All firms must use the standard form in the attached Excel spreadsheet for time and expense reporting. The template includes a time tab and an expense tab. With your first report, please advise us as to who at your firm is the appropriate contact person for time and expense reporting purposes.

Please note the following:

First, please submit your time in the proper format and on time. Time sent in the wrong format will be sent back. Time that is not timely submitted will not be considered.

In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation
February 26, 2020

Second, Co-Lead Counsel reserves the right to not assign work to firms that are not current in their monthly time and expense reports.

Third, work performed in this case without the express, specific authorization of Co-Lead Counsel will not be compensable. This includes unauthorized reading and reviewing of correspondence and pleadings, appearances at hearings or depositions and travel time and expenses related to such appearances.

Fourth, to the extent practical and time allowing, all billings may be audited and billings that we do not believe are appropriate may be disallowed before our time is submitted to the court or at any time thereafter. It will make our job in this regard much easier if we all exercise restraint in our time keeping, only reporting time that is reasonably expended in prosecution of our clients' and the class's claims. We recognize that it may not be realistic to conduct effective audits on a regular basis. Accordingly, please note that simply because time is allowed at one point in time does not mean all or any of the time that is not disallowed will be either compensable or submitted to the court in support of a fee application. We will examine -- and re-examine -- all of our time in a comprehensive way before any fee application is submitted to determine, based upon a complete picture of how the case was prosecuted, how each firm's time should be treated.

Fifth, we are not using task codes; this requires fulsome, clear descriptions of each and every time entry you make.

Sixth, we ask that you not staff committee calls with more than one lawyer from your firm. Exceptions to this policy will require advance approval from Co-Lead Counsel.

Seventh, we intend to be particularly mindful about the use of contract lawyers, in particular for document review purposes. Any use of contract lawyers for document review or any other work must be expressly approved in writing by Co-Lead Counsel in advance. Work performed by contract attorneys, for any purpose, may be subjected to a cap on the hourly rate charged for those lawyers' time.

Eighth, Co-Lead Counsel have established an account from which common expenses will be paid. Common expenses include such matters as filing and service costs related to the MDL consolidated action; deposition and court reporter fees; the cost of creating and operating a document depository; administrative expenses, such as the expenses associated with PSC meetings and conference calls; expert and consultant fees and expenses; fees for e-discovery, copying, and coding (done outside of a particular firm); witness expenses; fees for independent investigators; bank charges; and such other common expenses approved by Co-Lead Counsel. No one other than Co-Lead and Liaison Counsel may incur common expenses without approval. Bills for approved common expenses should be sent for payment to Norman Siegel, whose firm maintains the litigation fund. Any common expense will require advance approval from Co-Lead Counsel before the expense is paid.

In re: Capital One Consumer Data Security Breach Litigation
February 26, 2020

Ninth, you should report on a monthly basis all non-common expenses for which you may seek reimbursement. Non-common expenses should be reported at cost without any markups.

If we are fortunate enough to earn a fee in this case, the allocation of the fee between the participating firms will be made by Co-Lead Counsel when the fee has been earned. In allocating any fee, Co-Lead Counsel will be guided by the concept that each firm will be rewarded for the value it has contributed to the results obtained for our clients. Each firm's lodestar will be a factor in determining value, but it will not be the only factor. Among other things, how efficiently a firm has handled its responsibilities will be given significant weight.

We look forward to continue working with all of you.

Respectfully,



Karen H. Riebel
LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P.
khriebel@locklaw.com

Norman E. Siegel
STUEVE SIEGEL HANSON, LLP
siegel@stuevesiegel.com

John A. Yanchunis
MORGAN & MORGAN COMPLEX
LITIGATION GROUP
jyanchunis@ForThePeople.com
Plaintiffs' Co-Lead Counsel

Steven T. Webster
WEBSTER BOOK LLP
swebster@websterbook.com
Plaintiffs' Local Counsel

Attachment

Exhibit C

FIRM	BILLER	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
Lockridge Grindal Nauen	Amber Raak	587.40	\$325	\$190,905.00
	Andrea Pittel	1,251.50	\$377	\$471,815.50
	Arielle Wagner	75.50	\$377	\$28,463.50
	Arielle Wagner	18.00	\$625	\$11,250.00
	Carey Johnson	592.10	\$325	\$192,432.50
	Craig Davis	11.90	\$725	\$8,627.50
	Elizabeth Odette	0.50	\$650	\$325.00
	Erik Allerson	20.40	\$250	\$5,100.00
	Eura Chang	2.30	\$250	\$575.00
	Gregg Fishbein	385.50	\$950	\$366,225.00
	Gregory Myers	27.30	\$900	\$24,570.00
	Heather Potteiger	2.00	\$325	\$650.00
	Jacob Saufley	185.20	\$600	\$111,120.00
	Joseph Bourne	106.20	\$750	\$79,650.00
	Karen Riebel	2,977.40	\$950	\$2,828,530.00
	Kate Baxter-Kauf	820.20	\$800	\$656,160.00
	Kevin Ravenscroft	757.10	\$377	\$285,426.70
	Krista Freier	30.50	\$650	\$19,825.00
	Kristen Marttila	214.60	\$850	\$182,410.00
	Kristin Condon	8.30	\$425	\$3,527.50
	Mallory Harrington	23.50	\$250	\$5,875.00
	Maureen Kane Berg	3,983.40	\$750	\$2,987,550.00
	Megan Van Dyke	22.50	\$425	\$9,562.50
	Michael Winston	1,402.30	\$377	\$528,667.10
	Richard Lockridge	1.00	\$1,050	\$1,050.00
	Sarah Lundberg	1,610.50	\$377	\$607,158.50
	Sarah Lundberg	142.70	\$425	\$60,647.50
Stephanie Chen	50.10	\$377	\$18,887.70	
Stephanie Chen	19.50	\$600	\$11,700.00	
Stephen Owen	80.00	\$600	\$48,000.00	
		15,409.40		\$9,746,686.50

FIRM	BILLER	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
Morgan & Morgan	Alex Antonio	702.30	\$377	\$264,767.10
	Andre Ducote	50.20	\$377	\$18,925.40
	Andrea Carbone	14.70	\$202	\$2,969.40
	Angela Mirabole	379.20	\$377	\$142,958.40
	Arnold Ashley	870.70	\$377	\$328,253.90
	Brian Brownsell	22.80	\$250	\$5,700.00
	Brian Brownsell	40.60	\$377	\$15,306.20
	Caran Smith	515.80	\$377	\$194,456.60
	Christina Romero	11.60	\$381	\$4,419.60
	David Morse	1,385.90	\$377	\$522,484.30
	David Reign	94.30	\$300	\$28,290.00
	Dustin Gomez	156.20	\$377	\$58,887.40
	Elisha Harvey	336.30	\$377	\$126,785.10
	Emily Reynolds	3.50	\$250	\$875.00
	Emily Reynolds	759.40	\$377	\$286,293.80
	Federic Nguyen	103.90	\$377	\$39,170.30
	Francesca Kester	194.20	\$468	\$90,885.60
	Hanna Fujimaki	374.50	\$377	\$141,186.50
	Hope Whalen **	3.80	\$381	\$1,447.80
	Jean Martin	509.60	\$919	\$468,322.40
	Jennifer Cabezas	322.10	\$208	\$66,996.80
	Jennifer Miller	1.00	\$202	\$202.00
	John Yanchunis	2,901.50	\$950	\$2,756,425.00
	Justin Tomlinson	274.50	\$377	\$103,486.50
	Karthik Murthy	95.70	\$377	\$36,078.90
	Kenya Reddy	606.20	\$919	\$557,097.80
	Kerry Marinelli	247.20	\$377	\$93,194.40
	Kim Mason	519.40	\$377	\$195,813.80
	Lee Walters	68.30	\$300	\$20,490.00
	Lynn Billings	6.50	\$250	\$1,625.00
	Marcio Valladares	210.80	\$864	\$182,131.20
	Marie Appel	103.30	\$785	\$81,090.50
	Michael Braun	0.10	\$894	\$89.40
	Michael Ram	205.60	\$950	\$195,320.00
	Nicolette Best	779.90	\$377	\$294,022.30
	Patrick Barthle	2,657.50	\$676	\$1,796,470.00
	Quinn Stine	520.70	\$377	\$196,303.90
	Ra Amen	378.70	\$377	\$142,769.90
	Ra Amen	1,719.60	\$468	\$804,772.80
	Ryan McGee	115.90	\$764	\$88,547.60
	Ryan Maxey	94.90	\$377	\$35,777.30
	Ryan Maxey	959.00	\$764	\$732,676.00
	Sara Johnson	25.00	\$377	\$9,425.00
	Shawn Jarecki	1,048.40	\$377	\$395,246.80
Stacy Kirven	259.30	\$377	\$97,756.10	
Stephen Bott	533.50	\$377	\$201,129.50	
Tim Ross	392.50	\$377	\$147,972.50	
Todd Hauser	350.70	\$377	\$132,213.90	
Todd Scholl	75.00	\$250	\$18,750.00	
Todd Scholl	444.50	\$377	\$167,576.50	
Tom Cruz	266.90	\$377	\$100,621.30	
Valerie Wagner **	0.30	\$225	\$67.50	
		22,714.00		\$12,394,525.00

FIRM	BILLER	HOURS	HOURLY RATE	LODESTAR
Stueve Siegel Hanson	Maragret Brulez	103.90	\$275	\$28,572.50
	Michelle Campbell	684.10	\$315	\$215,491.50
	Katrina Cervantes	8.00	\$275	\$2,200.00
	Bria Davis	21.30	\$450	\$9,585.00
	Jillian Dent	2,251.60	\$595	\$1,339,702.00
	Tanner Edwards	72.70	\$475	\$34,532.50
	David Hickey	217.70	\$377	\$82,072.90
	David Hickey	678.40	\$625	\$424,000.00
	Rachel Kenney	419.50	\$377	\$158,151.50
	Mary Rose Marquart	20.90	\$315	\$6,583.50
	Kate Marshall	914.00	\$377	\$344,578.00
	Abby McClellan	6.40	\$377	\$2,412.80
	Ross Merrill	12.00	\$525	\$6,300.00
	Austin Moore	18.40	\$745	\$13,708.00
	Michael Owens	259.20	\$550	\$142,560.00
	Cheri Perez	70.40	\$275	\$19,360.00
	Lindsay Perkins	1,460.70	\$745	\$1,088,221.50
	Vong Phommachanh	12.20	\$300	\$3,660.00
	Lynnette Siegel	110.60	\$377	\$41,696.20
	Lynnette Siegel	417.90	\$495	\$206,860.50
	Norman Siegel	2,296.70	\$1,025	\$2,354,117.50
	Stephen Six	630.10	\$985	\$620,648.50
	Charla Smith	330.30	\$377	\$124,523.10
	Emily Smith	167.50	\$377	\$63,147.50
	Emily Smith	157.40	\$450	\$70,830.00
	Benjamin Stueve	48.00	\$525	\$25,200.00
	Barrett Vahle	2,365.20	\$875	\$2,069,550.00
	Caleb Wagner	73.60	\$525	\$38,640.00
Stephanie Walters	16.40	\$377	\$6,182.80	
Stephanie Walters	1,824.60	\$725	\$1,322,835.00	
Sheri Williams	45.50	\$250	\$11,375.00	
		15,715.20		\$10,877,297.80
Webster Book	Steven Webster	339.80	\$650	\$220,870.00
		339.80		\$220,870.00
CO-LEAD FIRMS & LOCAL COUNSEL TOTALS		54,178.40		\$33,239,379.30

NON-LEAD FIRMS	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL LODESTAR
Abington Cole & Ellery	5.20	\$3,380.00
Ademi & O'Reilly, LLP	5.30	\$2,875.00
Badgley Mullins Turner PLLC	0.00	\$0.00
Barbuto & Johansson	3.90	\$2,921.10
Barnow & Associates	47.20	\$28,672.50
Barrack Rodos Bacine	11.90	\$8,145.00
Beasley Allen	24.90	\$5,935.00
Berger Montague	1,221.90	\$573,720.80
Bleichmar Fonti & Auld	8.80	\$7,213.50
Blood Hurst & O'Reardon	8.10	\$3,946.00
Bragar Eigel & Squire	27.70	\$20,220.00
Breit Cantor Grana Buckner	4.00	\$2,200.00
Cafferty Clobes Meriwether & Sprengel	28.40	\$17,062.50
Capstone Law	95.60	\$35,532.00
Chestnut Cambronne	0.00	\$0.00
Clinton & Peed	0.00	\$0.00
Cohen & Malad	27.90	\$14,507.50
Cohen Milstein	2.80	\$1,295.00
DiCello Levitt Gutzler	3.70	\$2,775.00
Edelson & Associates	0.00	\$0.00
Emerson Firm	140.40	\$110,092.00
Feinstein Doyle Payne & Kravec	36.20	\$16,465.00
Fine Kaplan and Black	7.60	\$4,573.00
Foulston Siefkin	20.20	\$7,981.50
Franklin D. Azar & Assocaites	100.20	\$48,642.50
Geoff McDonald & Associates	0.00	\$0.00
Gibbs Law Group	109.20	\$58,896.00
Glancy Prongay & Murray	3,043.90	\$1,147,834.30
Goldman Scarlato & Penny	4.60	\$3,335.00
Golomb Spirt Grunfeld Golomb & Honik	23.00	\$12,650.00
Grabar Law Office	369.30	\$140,092.50
Grenier Law Group	0.00	\$0.00
Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro	833.50	\$318,096.90
Hausfeld	0.00	\$0.00
Hellmuth & Johnson	29.10	\$23,937.00
Heninger Garrison Davis	31.60	\$12,072.20
Herman Jones	21.00	\$15,247.00
Kantrowitz Goldhamer & Graifman	32.80	\$29,021.00
Karon LLC	15.50	\$9,924.50
Kelly Guzzo	71.40	\$23,207.50
Kessler Topaz Meltzer Check	14.40	\$7,389.00
Kohn Swift	0.00	\$0.00
Levin Sedran & Berman LLP	996.80	\$376,459.20
Lukins & Annis Attorneys	0.00	\$0.00
Mason Lietz & Klinger Whitfield Bryson & Mason	407.00	\$140,125.50

NON-LEAD FIRMS	TOTAL HOURS	TOTAL LODESTAR
Milberg Phillips Grossman	0.00	\$0.00
Nussbaum Law Group	10.60	\$8,961.50
Podolsky Law Offices	0.00	\$0.00
Shub Law Group	136.00	\$51,725.00
Spector Roseman & Kodroff	8.40	\$4,978.00
Stull Stull & Brody	63.30	\$52,988.00
Terrell Marshall Law Group	0.10	\$72.50
The Consumer Law Group	0.00	\$0.00
The Consumer Protection Firm	384.80	\$145,069.60
The Grant Law Firm	39.20	\$29,400.00
The Law Offices of Dale W. Pittman	1.00	\$375.00
The Vernia Law Firm	0.00	\$0.00
Tousley Brain Stephens	2.70	\$1,260.50
Tycko & Zavareei	1,945.00	\$799,593.10
Vita Law Offices	6.20	\$4,030.00
Walsh	23.80	\$15,440.00
Wolf Haldenstein	6.10	\$5,559.00
Ziegler Diamond Law Law Office of Michael A. Ziegler	30.80	\$9,520.00
Zimmerman Reed	67.90	\$35,789.50
Zwerling Schachter & Zwerling	0.00	\$0.00
NON-LEAD FIRMS TOTALS	10,560.90	\$4,401,204.20
GRAND TOTALS	64,739.30	\$37,640,583.50

CATEGORY	TOTAL EXPENSES
Copies/Printing	\$40,746.19
Deposition	\$195,983.06
ESI Processing & Hosting	\$293,323.09
Experts	\$1,369,080.91
Filing Fee	\$14,971.00
Hearing Transcripts	\$4,751.60
Mediation	\$20,035.00
Postage/FedEx/UPS	\$6,808.16
Research (Pacer/Westlaw)	\$255,086.95
Service/Courier	\$12,862.89
Telephone/Fax	\$1,053.63
Travel (Transportation/Meals/Hotel)	\$107,118.52
Misc.	\$3,695.11
TOTAL	\$2,325,516.11

Exhibit D



2017 NLJ Billing Report

Source: National Law Journal

Category: National Law Journal

ALM Legal Intelligence collected 2017 hourly billing rates for partners, associates and of counsel from the published rates in the 20 largest federal bankruptcy jurisdictions. High, low and average attorney billing rates are reported for 948 firms, in 31 states and the U.S. Territory Puerto Rico.

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	A.O.E Law & Associates, Apc	Los Angeles	CA					\$300	\$350	\$350			
2017	Abarbanel Law Offices	Fort Lauderdale	FL							\$350*			
2017	Ackerman Fox	East Meadow	NY				\$425*	\$350	\$475	\$413			
2017	Acree Law Firm	Springfield	MO				\$275*						
2017	Adam Law Group	Jacksonville	FL				\$350			\$250			
2017	Adams, Morris & Sessing	Germantown	MD				\$365*						
2017	Adelman & Gettleman Ltd	Chicago	IL		\$395	\$525	\$465			\$325			
2017	Affinity Law Group	St. Louis	MO					\$185	\$315	\$250			
2017	Agilis Legal, PC	Denver	CO				\$350*			\$295*			
2017	Akerman LLP	Miami	FL	76			\$350*			\$275*			
2017	Albert H.Barkey,Attorney at Law	New York	NY							\$360			
2017	Allan D. Newdelman	Phoenix	AZ					\$315	\$395	\$355			
2017	Allen Barnes & Jones PLC	Phoenix	AZ		\$345	\$595	\$510	\$275	\$295	\$285			\$480
2017	Allen Turnage, P.A.	Tallahassee	FL							\$400*			
2017	Allen Vellone Wolf Helfrich & Factor P.C.	Denver	CO					\$215	\$450	\$323			
2017	Allied Legal Group Inc	Los Angeles	CA							\$250*			
2017	Almeida & Davila PSC	San Juan	PR				\$200	\$175	\$200	\$188			
2017	Andersen Law Firm, Ltd.	Las Vegas	NV							\$285			
2017	Andrew M. Ellis Law	Phoenix	AZ							\$285*			
2017	Andrews Myers PC	Houston	TX								\$325	\$375	\$350
2017	Anthony O. Egbase & Associates Attorneys At Law	Los Angeles	CA							\$150*			
2017	Antonik Law Offices	Mount Vernon	IL				\$275*						
2017	Antonio Martinez	McAllen	TX				\$250			\$175			
2017	Anyama Law Firm	Cerritos	CA				\$400	\$175	\$200	\$188			
2017	Arboleda Brechner	Phoenix	AZ				\$400*						
2017	Arlene Gordon-Oliver	White Plains	NY							\$485*			
2017	Armstrong Teasdale LLP	St. Louis	MO	181	\$370	\$660	\$590	\$225	\$285	\$250			
2017	Ast & Schmidt, P.C.	Morristown	NJ							\$395*			
2017	Atkinson Law Associates Ltd	Las Vegas	NV							\$520*			
2017	Attorney Justin Oliverio, LLC	Decatur	GA							\$275*			
2017	Attorney Robert H. Holber PC	Media	PA							\$250			
2017	Avanesian Law Firm	Glendale	CA					\$250	\$375	\$313			
2017	B. Weldon Ponder Jr.	Austin	TX							\$350*			
2017	Babcoke Law Office	Miller Beach	IN							\$350			
2017	Bach Law Offices	Northbrook	IL				\$425	\$300	\$425	\$300			
2017	Backenroth Frankel & Krinsky, LLP	New York	NY		\$505	\$550	\$528	\$485	\$550	\$505			
2017	Baker & Associates	Houston	TX				\$450	\$300	\$375	\$305	\$350	\$450	\$400
2017	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC	Nashville	TN	55			\$405						
2017	Ballard Spahr LLP	Washington	DC	85	\$650	\$1,195	\$895	\$395	\$510	\$453			\$505
2017	Bankruptcy Law Center	San Diego	CA							\$425*			
2017	Barrick Switzer Long Balsley & Van Evera, LLP	Rockford	IL					\$225	\$275	\$250			
2017	Barron & Newburger, P.C.	Austin	TX				\$495			\$495			
2017	Barry Scott Miller, Esq	Newark	NJ							\$250*			
2017	Bartolone Legal Group, PA	Orlando	FL							\$325*			
2017	Bass Berry & Sims	Nashville	TN	165			\$525*			\$425*			
2017	Bast Amron LLP	Miami	FL				\$525*						
2017	Baumeister Denz LLP	Buffalo	NY		\$275	\$300	\$288			\$175			
2017	Bayard, P.A.	Wilmington	DE		\$475	\$675	\$525			\$305			
2017	Beall and Burkhardt, APC	Santa Barbara	CA		\$400	\$475	\$438			\$300*			
2017	Beard & Savory, PLLC	Memphis	TN							\$275			
2017	Behar, Gutt & Glazer, P.A.	Fort Lauderdale	FL				\$400			\$335			
2017	Belden Blaine Raytis LLP	Bakersfield	CA				\$330*						
2017	Bell, Davis & Pitt, PA	Winston-Salem	NC				\$300*						
2017	Bella Rose Skin Care PLLC	Midland	MI							\$125			
2017	Belvedere Legal, APC	San Mateo	CA				\$495*			\$395*			
2017	Benari & Nguyen LLP	Irvine	CA				\$350*			\$350*			
2017	Benjamin Brand, LLP	Chicago	IL				\$425	\$250	\$395	\$395			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Bereliani Law Firm	Los Angeles	CA							\$300*			
2017	Berg Hill Greenleaf & Ruscitti, LLP	Denver	CO				\$400*						
2017	Berger Singerman	Miami	FL	496			\$695*						
2017	Berman DeLeve Kuchan and Chapman	Kansas City	MO				\$300			\$300			
2017	Bernstein-Burkley	Pittsburgh	PA		\$300	\$545	\$350	\$235	\$300	\$270			
2017	Bielli & Klaunder, LLC	Wilmington	DE				\$325			\$205*			\$325
2017	Bigas & Bigas	Ponce	PR							\$250*			
2017	BKN Murray LLP	St. Petersburg	FL							\$375*			
2017	Black Square Financial	Coral Springs	FL							\$500*			
2017	Blake D. Gunn	Mesa	AZ					\$175	\$300	\$238			
2017	Blanchard Law, PA	Largo	FL							\$225			
2017	Blank Rome LLP	Philadelphia	PA	78	\$310	\$725	\$615	\$435	\$470	\$453			
2017	Bohnhoff & Mahoney	Lansing	MI							\$215*			
2017	Bond, Schoeneck & King, PLLC	Syracuse	NY	164	\$360	\$400	\$380						
2017	Bononi & Company, P.C.	Greensburg	PA				\$400*	\$185	\$280	\$195	\$635	\$650	\$643
2017	Bosley Till Neue & Talerico LLP	Newport Beach	CA				\$595*				\$350	\$595	\$395
2017	Boul & Associates, P.C.	Columbia	MO				\$250*			\$250*			
2017	Bracewell LLP	Houston	TX	114	\$1,000	\$1,100	\$1,050	\$550	\$755	\$653			
2017	Bradley Arant Boulton Cummings LLP	Birmingham	AL	93			\$570*						
2017	Brian K. McMahon, P.A.	West Palm Beach	FL				\$400*						
2017	Broege, Neumann, Fischer & Shaver	Manasquan	NJ					\$275	\$590	\$500			
2017	Bronson Law Offices	Harrison	NY					\$275	\$400	\$375			
2017	Broussard Poche LLP	Lafayette	LA				\$220*						
2017	Brown Rudnick LLP	Boston	MA	203	\$905	\$1,245	\$1,075			\$515*			
2017	Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck, LLP	Denver	CO	192			\$655*			\$330*			
2017	Bruce W. Radowitz, Esq. P.A.	Union	NJ							\$300*			
2017	Bruner Wright, P.A.	Tallahassee	FL					\$225	\$350	\$288			
2017	Brutzkus Gubner Rozansky Seror Weber LLP	Woodland Hills	CA		\$235	\$850	\$625	\$325	\$500	\$485	\$495	\$675	\$573
2017	Bryan Cave LLP	St. Louis	MO	37	\$594	\$660	\$627	\$369	\$625	\$487			
2017	Bryan Diaz Law, APC	Ventura	CA							\$350*			
2017	Buddy Ford, P.A	Tampa	FL					\$300	\$375	\$338			
2017	Buechler & Garber LLC	Denver	CO				\$350						
2017	Bufete Negron Garcia, C.S.P	Guaynabo	PR							\$150*			
2017	Burger Law Firm	Houston	TX				\$300*	\$350	\$440	\$395			
2017	Burke, Warren, MacKay & Seritella, P.C.	Chicago	IL				\$510*			\$325*			
2017	Bush Kornfeld LLP	Seattle	WA					\$285	\$365	\$325			
2017	Byrd & Wisner	Biloxi	MS							\$300*			
2017	C Conde & Associates	San Juan	PR					\$175	\$275	\$200			
2017	Cairncross & Hempelmann	Seattle	WA							\$560*			
2017	Calaiaro Valencik	Pittsburgh	PA		\$300	\$375	\$350	\$250	\$350	\$250			
2017	Campbell and Coombs	Mesa	AZ				\$500						
2017	Canterbury Law Group	Scottsdale	AZ					\$150	\$400	\$275			
2017	Cardwell & Chang P.L.L.C	Houston	TX					\$250	\$400	\$400			
2017	Carkhuff & Radmin	North Plainfield	NJ				\$400						
2017	Carlos J Cuevas Esq	Yonkers	NY				\$450*			\$495*			
2017	Carman Law Firm	Prescott	AZ				\$250*						
2017	Carmody MacDonald PC	St. Louis	MO				\$350*						
2017	Carter Ledyard & Milburn LLP	New York	NY	458			\$900*	\$285	\$700	\$493			
2017	Catalyst Lifestyles Sport Resort, LLC	Indianapolis	IN							\$350*			
2017	CBG Law Group	Bellevue	WA				\$320*						
2017	Center City Law Offices LLC	Philadelphia	PA				\$250*						
2017	CGA Law Firm	York	PA				\$345*	\$200	\$270	\$235			
2017	Chambliss, Bahner & Stophel, P.C.	Chatanooga	TN		\$245	\$385	\$290	\$150	\$350	\$298			
2017	Charles A Curpill, PSC Law Office	San Juan	PR					\$250	\$350	\$300			
2017	Charles M Wynn Law Offices PA	Marianna	FL					\$200	\$325	\$250			
2017	Charles R. Chesnutt	Dallas	TX					\$250	\$450	\$350			
2017	Chase Bylenga Hulst, PLLC	Grand Rapids	MI				\$350*			\$275*			
2017	ChildersLaw, LLC	Gainesville	FL					\$275	\$375	\$325			
2017	Christopher C. Gautschi Attorney At Law	Santa Barbara	CA							\$400*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Ciardi Ciardi & Astin	Philadelphia	PA				\$515*	\$300	\$350	\$350			
2017	Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton LLP	New York	NY	18				\$445	\$490	\$468			
2017	Clinton A Block Attorney At Law	Kewanee	IL							\$150*			
2017	Coats Rose	Houston	TX	362	\$475	\$650	\$563			\$325			
2017	Cohen & Krol	Chicago	IL		\$505	\$515	\$510			\$350			
2017	Cohen, Baldinger & Greenfeld, LLC	Rockville	MD					\$295	\$450	\$425			
2017	Cohen Pollock Merlin & Small, P.C.	Atlanta	GA					\$305	\$385	\$345			
2017	Cole & Cole Law, P.A	Sarasota	FL					\$300	\$400	\$350			
2017	Cole Schotz P.C.	Hackensack	NJ	330	\$495	\$915	\$658	\$280	\$445	\$305			
2017	Collins, Vella & Casello	Manasquan	NJ				\$400*			\$250*			
2017	Connolly, Rosania and Lofstedt	Louisville	CO				\$340*			\$375*			
2017	Consumer Action Law Group PC	Los Angeles	CA				\$425	\$225	\$425	\$325			
2017	Cooley LLP	Palo Alto	CA	39			\$1,100	\$595	\$835	\$735	\$850	\$1,065	\$998
2017	Coon & Cole, LLC	Towson	MD				\$350*						\$350*
2017	Cooper & Scully, P.C	Dallas	FL							\$435*			
2017	Cooper, Pautz, Weiermiller & Daubner, LLP	Horseheads	NY							\$250*			
2017	Copeland Law Firm, P.C.	Abingdon	VA							\$300			
2017	Corash & Hollender PC	Staten Island	NY				\$450*			\$425*			\$425*
2017	Cordova Ayuso Law Office LLC	San Juan	PR				\$100			\$100			
2017	Corral Tran Singh, LLP	Houston	TX		\$275	\$325	\$300						
2017	Correa Business Consulting Group, Llc	San Juan	PR							\$150*			
2017	Cozen O'Connor	Philadelphia	PA	79	\$550	\$730	\$710			\$405*			
2017	Craig & Lofton, P.C.	Memphis	TN				\$50*						
2017	Crain, Caton & James	Houston	TX				\$400*			\$325*			
2017	Crane Heyman Simon Welch & Clar	Chicago	IL		\$445	\$510	\$510			\$325*			\$400*
2017	Crowley, Liberatore, Ryan & Brogan, P.C.	Norfolk	VA							\$330*			
2017	Cunningham, Chernicoff & Warshawsky, P.C.	Harrisburg	PA				\$350						
2017	Curtis Castillo PC	Dallas	TX				\$425*	\$195	\$225	\$210			
2017	Dallas W Jolley, Jr Attorney at Law	Tacoma	WA				\$325*						
2017	Dana M. Douglas Attorney At Law	Granada Hills	CA				\$200*			\$200*			
2017	Daniel J. Rylander, P.C.	Tucson	AZ					\$200	\$300	\$250			
2017	Daniels & Taylor, PC	Lawrenceville	GA							\$300*			
2017	Dann & Merino, P.C.	East Rutherford	NJ							\$425*			
2017	Danoff & King, P.A	Towson	MD							\$350*			
2017	Danowitz & Associates, P.C.	Atlanta	GA					\$275	\$350	\$300			
2017	David C. Jones, Jr., P.C.	Fairfax	VA				\$350*						
2017	David Dunn Law Offices PC	Allentown	PA				\$300*						
2017	David E. Lynn, P.C.	Rockville	MD							\$425*			
2017	David E. Mullis, P.C.	Valdosta	GA							\$250*			
2017	David P. Lloyd, Ltd	LaGrange	IL				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	David R. Shook, Attorney at Law, PLLC	Clarkston	MI				\$350*						
2017	David R. Softness, PA	Miami	FL				\$550*						
2017	David Rosenthal Law Firm	Lafayette	IN							\$300*			
2017	David Schroeder Law Offices, PC	Springfield	MO				\$300*						
2017	David T Cain Law Offices	San Antonio	TX				\$300*						
2017	David W Steen, P.A.	Tampa	FL				\$450*	\$300	\$450	\$300			
2017	Davis Miles McGuire Gardner	Tempe	AZ				\$380*			\$240*			
2017	Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	New York	NY	35						\$1025*			
2017	Davis, Ermis & Roberts, P.C	Arlington	TX							\$350*			
2017	Dean G. Sutton, Esq	Sparta	NJ							\$400*			
2017	Dean W. Greer, Attorney at Law	San Antonio	TX				\$300*						
2017	Deborah Lawson, Attorney At Law, P.L.L.C.	Ventura	CA							\$35*			
2017	DeCaro & Howell PC	Upper Marlboro	MD				\$425*			\$380*			
2017	Deiches & Ferschmann	Haddonfield	NJ							\$425*			
2017	DelBello Donnella Weingarten Wise & Wiederkehr LLP	White Plains	NY		\$410	\$620	\$515						\$375*
2017	DeMarco-Mitchell, PLLC	Plano	TX		\$285	\$350	\$350			\$125*			
2017	Dent Law Office, Ltd	Effingham	IL							\$300*			
2017	Dentons US LLP	Atlanta	GA		\$575	\$675	\$625			\$345*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Diamond McCarthy LLP	Houston	TX		\$420	\$750	\$585	\$320	\$340	\$330			
2017	Dibble & Miller	Rochester	NY							\$300*			
2017	Dilworth Paxson LLP	Philadelphia	PA	422	\$375	\$895	\$533	\$300	\$330	\$315			
2017	Dishbak Law Firm	Beverly Hills	CA				\$400*						
2017	DLA Piper	New York	NY	2	\$725	\$1,120	\$985	\$265	\$850	\$595	\$720	\$805	\$775
2017	Donahoe & Young LLP	Santa Clarita	CA		\$375	\$500	\$438	\$60	\$500	\$300			
2017	Doran & Doran, P.C.	Wilkes-Barre	PA					\$285	\$300	\$293			
2017	Dorsey & Whitney LLP	Minneapolis	MN	89	\$555	\$980	\$680	\$410	\$515	\$463	\$480	\$555	\$513
2017	Dougherty and Guenther	Salinas	CA							\$395*			
2017	Douglas Haun and Heidemann, P.C.	Springfield	MO							\$250*			
2017	Drake Law Firm PLC	Scottsdale	AZ				\$300*	\$125	\$300	\$213			
2017	Drescher & Associates	Baltimore	MD							\$350*			
2017	Dsouza Law Group, P.A.	Plantation	FL							\$350*			
2017	Dunn Law, P.A.	Miami	FL				\$325*			\$325*			
2017	Durand & Associates, P.C.	Lewisville	TX				\$300*						
2017	E. P. Bud Kirk, Attorney at Law	El Paso	TX							\$300*			
2017	E. Waters & Associates, P.C.	North Bergen	NJ				\$400*			\$300			
2017	Eason & Tamborini, A Law Corporation	Sacramento	CA				\$400*	\$250	\$400	\$250			
2017	Edmiston Cambron, PLLC	Knoxville	TN				\$250*			\$250			
2017	Elizabeth A Haas Esq PLLC	New City	NY				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	Elkington Shepherd LLP	Oakland	CA							\$400			
2017	Ellett Law Offices, P.C.	Phoenix	AZ					\$275	\$525	\$405			
2017	EPTMS, INC	El Paso	TX							\$300*			
2017	Eric A. Liepins	Dallas	TX							\$275*			
2017	Eric Slocum Sparks PC	Tucson	AZ					\$275	\$375	\$325			
2017	Estabrook & Company	Baltimore	MD							\$125*			
2017	Estudio Legal 1611 Corp	San Juan	PR							\$225*			
2017	Eubanks Law Firm, PC	Seymour	TN							\$250*			
2017	Fabian Law Office	San Juan	PR					\$190	\$375	\$305			
2017	Fedoroff Firm, LLC	Howell	NJ				\$350*						
2017	Financial Relief Law Center	Irvine	CA		\$325	\$300	\$313	\$295	\$350	\$300			
2017	Finestone Hayes LLP	San Francisco	CA				\$435*	\$370	\$435	\$403			
2017	Fisher and Associates	Houston	TX				\$395*	\$240	\$395	\$240			
2017	Fisher Rushmer, PA	Orlando	FL										\$475*
2017	FisherBroyles, LLP	Atlanta	GA		\$350	\$375	\$350	\$350	\$375	\$363			
2017	Flaster Greenberg	Cherry Hill	NJ		\$490	\$500	\$495						
2017	Foley & Lardner LLP	Milwaukee	WI	43			\$795*						\$630*
2017	Forrester & Worth PLLC	Phoenix	AZ				\$450*			\$400*			
2017	Forshey & Prostok, LLP	Fort Worth	TX		\$425	\$575	\$575			\$400*			
2017	Foster Law Offices	Sayrem	PA							\$250*			
2017	Foster Legal Services PLLC	Orland Park	IL							\$420*			
2017	Fox Rothschild LLP	Philadelphia	PA	49			\$725*	\$300	\$585	\$450			
2017	Francis E. Corbett, Attorney at Law	Pittsburgh	PA							\$250*			
2017	Frank A. Principe	Tampa	FL							\$300*			
2017	Frank Lyon Law Offices	Austin	TX				\$395			\$305			
2017	Franklin Hayward LLP	Dallas	TX				\$400*						
2017	Fuentes Law Offices, LLC	San Juan	PR							\$250*			
2017	Fuqua & Associates, PC	Houston	TX					\$225	\$500	\$250			
2017	Gagnon Eisele and Rigby, PLLC	Winter Park	FL				\$350*						
2017	Gainey Law Offices	Pittsburgh	PA							\$250*			
2017	Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP	Dallas	TX	194	\$640	\$725	\$640	\$280	\$385	\$360			
2017	Gardner Law Offices, PLLC	Raleigh	NC							\$275*			
2017	Garman Turner Gordon LLP	Las Vegas	NV		\$395	\$775	\$435			\$385*			
2017	Garvey Cushner & Associates PLLC	White Plains	NY				\$500			\$350*			
2017	Garvey Tirelli & Cushner Ltd	White Plains	NY				\$500			\$350*			
2017	Gary W. Short	Pittsburgh	PA					\$300	\$350	\$325*			
2017	Geiger Law LLC	Atlanta	GA							\$330*			
2017	George M. Geeslin	Atlanta	GA							\$350*			
2017	Gerald B. Stewart Attorney & Counselor at Law	Jacksonville	FL							\$300*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Gerald K. Smith and John C. Smith Law Offices	Tucson	AZ		\$250	\$600	\$350	\$350	\$250	\$350	\$300		
2017	Gerdes Law Firm, L.L.C	Hammond	LA							\$200*			
2017	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP	New York	NY	17	\$925	\$1,195	\$1,150	\$250	\$875	\$685			
2017	Gillman & Gillman, LLC	Edison	NJ				\$350*						
2017	Giordano Halleran & Ciesla, P.C	Red Bank	NJ				\$425			\$250			
2017	Glankler Brown PLLC	Memphis	TN				\$400*						
2017	Gleichenhaus Marchese & Weishaar PC	Buffalo	NY					\$250	\$350	\$325			
2017	Goe & Forsythe LLC	Irvine	CA		\$300	\$395	\$395	\$295	\$315	\$300			
2017	Goetz Fitzpatrick	New York	NY					\$550	\$580	\$565			
2017	Gold, Lange & Majoros PC	Southfield	MI		\$325	\$395	\$340	\$230	\$260	\$235			
2017	Goldberg Weprin Finkel Goldstein LLP	New York	NY				\$550*			\$550*			
2017	Goldman & Beslow, LLC	East Orange	NJ				\$400*			\$375*			
2017	Goldsmith & Guymon, P.C.	Las Vegas	NV				\$425*			\$425*			
2017	Goldstein and McClintock	Chicago	IL		\$435	\$525				\$285*			
2017	Goldstein Bershad & Fried PC	Southfield	MI							\$400			
2017	Gonzalez Cordero Law Offices	Guaynabo	PR							\$250*			
2017	Goodman Law Offices, APC	Encino	CA				\$395*						
2017	Goodrich Postnikoff & Associates, LLP	Fort Worth	TX							\$200*			
2017	Gorski & Knowlton PC	Hamilton	NJ				\$400						
2017	Gouveia and Associates	Merrillville	IN					\$275	\$400	\$275			
2017	Grasl PLC	Farmington Hills	MI				\$350*						
2017	Gratacos Law Firm, PSC	Caguas	PR							\$200*			
2017	Gray Reed & McGraw LLP	Houston	TX	336			\$685*	\$375	\$455	\$415			\$575*
2017	Greenberg & Bass	Encino	CA				\$450*	\$350	\$400	\$400			\$495
2017	Greenberg Traurig, LLP	New York	NY	8	\$625	\$1,080	\$790	\$450	\$475	\$475			\$795
2017	Greene Infuso, LLP	Las Vegas	NV		\$325	\$450	\$388	\$225	\$450	\$338			
2017	Gregory K. Stern, P.C	Chicago	IL				\$465*	\$325	\$465	\$445			
2017	Grier Furr & Crisp, PA	Charlotte	NC		\$360	\$550	\$445	\$250	\$340	\$295			
2017	Grossbart, Portney & Rosenberg	Baltimore	MD							\$445*			
2017	Guarino Law, LLC	Montclair	NJ							\$250*			
2017	Gudeman and Associates	Royal Oak	MI				\$350*			\$300*			
2017	Guerra & Smeberg, PLLC	San Antonio	TX							\$275			
2017	Haberbush & Associates LLP	Long Beach	CA					\$90	\$450	\$225			\$175*
2017	Halabu Law Group, P.C	Birmingham	MI				\$300*						
2017	Harold M Somer PC	Westbury	NY							\$350*			
2017	Harrell & Associates	Memphis	TN							\$200*			
2017	Harris Law Practice LLC	Reno	NV							\$400*			
2017	Harriss Hartmann Law Firm PC	Rossville	GA							\$175*			
2017	Hartman & Hartman	Reno	NV							\$450*			
2017	Harvell and Collins, P.A.	Morehead City	NC					\$195	\$260	\$228			
2017	Hatillo Law Office, PSC	Bayamon	PR							\$250*			
2017	Haynes and Boone, LLP	Dallas	TX	82	\$500	\$960	\$675	\$288	\$660	\$472			
2017	Hayward, Parker, O'Leary & Pinsky	Middletown	NY				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	Heidi McLeod Law Office, PLLC	San Antonio	TX							\$300*			
2017	Heller, Draper, Patrick, Horn & Dabney, LLC	Baton Rouge	LA		\$375	\$400	\$388	\$275	\$400	\$350			
2017	Henry D Paloci III PA	Thousand Oaks	CA							\$300*			
2017	Henshaw Law Office	San Jose	CA		\$350	\$400	\$375			\$250			
2017	Herbert C. Broadfoot II, PC	Atlanta	GA					\$350	\$375	\$363			
2017	Heritage Pacific Law Group, PC	Murrieta	CA				\$250*			\$175*			
2017	Herren, Dare & Streett	St. Louis	MO				\$300*						
2017	Herron Hill Law Group, PLLC	Orlando	FL							\$300*			
2017	Hester Baker Krebs, LLC	Indianapolis	IN				\$350*	\$275	\$375	\$373			
2017	Heyboer Law PLC	Fort Gratiot	MI							\$250*			
2017	Hirschler, Fleischer	Richmond	VA				\$425*			\$250*			
2017	Hodges, Doughty & Carson PLLC	Knoxville	TN		\$250	\$325	\$288			\$200*			
2017	Hodgson Russ LLP	Buffalo	NY	206			\$360*						
2017	Hoffman & Saweris, P.C.	Houston	TX		\$235	\$335	\$285						
2017	Hoffman, Larin and Agnetti	Miami	FL							\$325*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Holly E. Estes, Esq	Reno	NV							\$350*			
2017	Homady & Corcoran, LLC	Holidaysburg	PA							\$210*			
2017	Homel Antonio Mercado Justiniano	Mayaguez	PR							\$250*			
2017	Hook & Fatovich, LLC	Wayne	NJ					\$350					
2017	Hoover Penrod PLC	Harrisonburg	VA					\$300*					
2017	Hoover Slovacek LLP	Houston	TX					\$475*					
2017	Horowitz Law Group, PLLC	New York	NY								\$300	\$350	\$343
2017	Hughes, Watters & Askanase	Houston	TX										\$350*
2017	Hunter Parker LLC	Las Vegas	NV										
2017	Hunton & Williams LLP	Richmond	VA	61	\$625	\$775	\$730	\$350	\$535	\$515			
2017	Husch Blackwell LLP	St. Louis	MO	70				\$450*			\$395	\$450	\$423
2017	Ice Miller LLP	Indianapolis	IN	152	\$477	\$698	\$554						
2017	Imblum Law Offices, PC	Harrisburg	PA										
2017	Ivey, McClellan, Gatton, & Talcott, LLP	Greensboro	NC		\$150	\$480	\$338						\$235*
2017	J.M. Cook, P.A	Raleigh	NC										
2017	Jackson Walker LLP	Dallas	TX	124	\$545	\$750	\$695	\$465	\$515	\$490			
2017	Jake Blanchard Law, PA	Largo	FL					\$250*					
2017	James & Haugland, P.C	El Paso	TX					\$350	\$250	\$350			
2017	James F. Kahn, P.C.	Phoenix	AZ					\$400					
2017	James H. Henderson, P.C.	Charlotte	NC										
2017	James L. Drake, Jr. P.C.	Savannah	GA					\$285	\$300	\$293			
2017	Janvier Law Firm, PLLC	Raleigh	NC					\$200	\$450	\$300			
2017	Jay Lauer, Attorney at Law	South Bend	IN										
2017	Jay S. Kalish & Associates, P.C	Farmington	MI					\$225*					
2017	Jeffrey A. Cogan, Esq., Ltd	Las Vegas	NV										\$400*
2017	Jeffrey C. Alandt	Traverse City	MI										\$240*
2017	Jeffrey M Pitchford, CPA	Denver	CO										\$350*
2017	Jeffrey Strange & Associates	Wilmette	IL					\$450*					\$395*
2017	Jesse Blanco and Associates	San Antonio	TX										\$450*
2017	Jimenez Vazquez & Associates, PSC	San Juan	PR										\$145*
2017	Joel D. Russman, Attorney at Law	Denver	CO										\$395*
2017	John A. Vos	San Rafael	CA										\$495*
2017	John E. Dunlap, Attorney at law	Memphis	TN										\$200*
2017	John M. Brunson, Attorney at Law	St. Petersburg	FL										\$200*
2017	John M. McAuliffe & Associates, P.C.	Newton	MA					\$350*	\$150	\$300	\$300		\$300
2017	Johnny W. Thomas, Attorney at Law	San Antonio	TX										\$310*
2017	Johnson & Gubler, P.C	Las Vegas	NV										\$245
2017	Johnson Pope Bokor Ruppel & Burns, LLP	Tampa	FL		\$325	\$395	\$373						
2017	Johnston & Street	Franklin	TN										\$300*
2017	Jones Day	Washington	DC	5	\$700	\$1,050	\$950	\$300	\$800	\$525			\$850*
2017	Jones Walker LLP	New Orleans	LA	117	\$285	\$475	\$388						\$235*
2017	Jordan Price Wall Gray Jones & Carlton, PLLC	Raleigh	NC										\$250*
2017	Joseph V. Meyers, Esq	Hackensack	NJ										\$350*
2017	Joyce W. Lindauer Attorney, PLLC	Dallas	TX					\$350*	\$185	\$395	\$195		
2017	Juan C Bigas Law Office	Ponce	PR										\$250*
2017	Justiniano's Law Office	Mayaguez	PR					\$125	\$250	\$188			
2017	Kahn & Ahart Pllc	Phoenix	AZ					\$425*	\$300	\$425	\$300		
2017	Kane Russell Coleman Logan PC	Dallas	TX	423	\$375	\$575	\$475						\$260*
2017	Kasen & Kasen	Cherry Hill	PA		\$350	\$500	\$425						\$350*
2017	Kasey C. Nye, Lawyer, PLLC	Tucson	AZ						\$200	\$275	\$238		
2017	Kasuri & Levy, LLC	Edison	NJ										\$425*
2017	Kasuri Byck, LLC.	Edison	NJ										\$450*
2017	Katz, Flatau, Popson and Boyer, LLP	Macon	GA										\$325*
2017	Kell C. Mercer, PC	Austin	TX					\$400*					
2017	Keller & Almassian PLC	Grand Rapids	MI					\$350*					\$295*
2017	Kelley & Clements LLP	Gainesville	GA					\$400*					
2017	Kelley and Fulton P.L.	West Palm Beach	TX					\$425*					\$425
2017	Kelly / Warner, PLLC	Scottsdale	AZ					\$325*					
2017	Kelly G. Black, PLC	Mesa	AZ										\$300*

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Kelly Hart Hallman	Fort Worth	TX	252	\$405	\$495	\$450	\$235	\$320	\$265			
2017	Kenneth H.J. Henjum, Law Offices	Ventura	CA				\$350*			\$195*			
2017	Kera & Graubard	Flushing	NY				\$450*						
2017	Kerney Law Office	Gallatin	TN							\$350*			
2017	Khang & Khang LLP	Irvine	CA				\$350*						
2017	Kilmer Crosby & Walker PLLC	Houston	TX		\$325	\$425	\$375						
2017	King & Spalding LLP	Atlanta	GA	23	\$775	\$1,435	\$1,000	\$525	\$790	\$525			
2017	King Law Offices, P.C	Dublin	TX										\$300*
2017	Kinkead Law Offices	Amarillo	TX							\$350*			
2017	Kirkland & Ellis LLP	Chicago	IL	12	\$235	\$1,410	\$1,115	\$210	\$955	\$735			
2017	Klein & Associates, LLC	Annapolis	MD				\$275*			\$325*			
2017	Klein, Denatale, Goldner, Cooper, Rosenlieb & Kimball	Bakersfield	CA				\$315*						
2017	Klestadt Winters Jureller Southard & Stevens, LLP	New York	NY		\$575	\$675	\$625						
2017	Klug Law Firm	Okemos	MI				\$300*	\$185	\$225	\$205			
2017	Kogan Law Firm APC	Los Angeles	CA							\$300*			
2017	Koh Law Firm, LLC	Bethesda	MD							\$300*			
2017	Kornfield, Nyberg, Bendes, Kuhner & Little P.C	Oakland	CA				\$385*	\$375	\$425	\$390			
2017	Kudman Trachten Aloe LLP	New York	NY				\$550*						
2017	Kung & Associates	Las Vegas	NV				\$450						
2017	Kurt Stephen, PLLC	McAllen	TX							\$375*			
2017	Kurtzman Matera, PC	Spring Valley	NY							\$525*			
2017	Kurtzman Steady LLC	Philadelphia	PA				\$480*			\$325*			
2017	KutnerBrinen, PC	Denver	CO		\$400	\$500	\$465	\$260	\$340	\$300			
2017	Lake & Cobb PLC	Tempe	AZ					\$200	\$300	\$238			
2017	Lamberth, Cifelli, Ellis & Nason, P.A	Atlanta	GA		\$360	\$495	\$450	\$250	\$360	\$350			
2017	LaMonica Herbst & Maniscalco, LLP	Wantagh	NY				\$595			\$415			
2017	Landrau Rivera & Assoc	San Juan	PR				\$200*			\$175*			
2017	Lane & Wilkinson, LLC	Chattanooga	TN							\$250*			
2017	Langley & Banack, Inc	San Antonio	TX		\$350	\$495	\$350	\$275	\$375	\$325			
2017	Larry Vick, Attorney at Law	Houston	TX							\$375*			
2017	Latham, Shuker, Barker, Eden & Beaudine LLP	Orlando	FL							\$550*			
2017	Law at Tyson Law Firm, P.C	Greenwood	IN							\$130*			
2017	Law firm of Berger Singerman LLP	Miami	FL				\$625						
2017	Law Firm of Brian W. Hofmeister, LLC	Trenton	NJ							\$425			
2017	Law Firm of Dean W Greer	San Antonio	TX				\$300*						
2017	Law Firm Of Homel Mercado Justiniano	Mayaguez	PR							\$125*			
2017	Law Firm of Joel M. Aresty, Esq	Tierra Verde	FL							\$400*			
2017	Law Firm of Jose R Cintron	San Juan	PR							\$150*			
2017	Law Office Emily D Davila Rivera	San Juan	PR							\$200*			
2017	Law Office of Alan C Stein PC	Woodbury	NY				\$400*						
2017	Law Office of Albert G. Reese, Jr	Pittsburgh	PA							\$225*			
2017	Law Office of Aldo Caller	Overland Park	KS							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Allen P. Turnage	Tallahassee	FL							\$300*			
2017	Law Office of Antonio I Hernandez Santiago	San Juan	PR							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Bethany A. Ralph	Amenia	NY				\$300*			\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Carl M. Barto	Laredo	TX							\$350			
2017	Law Office of Craig D. Robins	Melville	NY					\$275	\$385	\$330			
2017	Law Office of Craig K. Welch	Petaluma	CA					\$275	\$420	\$348			
2017	Law Office of Daren M Schlechter	Los Angeles	CA							\$350*			\$275*
2017	Law Office Of David A. Scholl	Newtown Square	PA							\$300*			
2017	Law Office of David Cahn, LLC	Silver Spring	MD							\$300*			
2017	Law Office of David M. Serafin	Denver	CO							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of David W. Cohen	Baltimore	MD							\$275*			
2017	Law Office of Dick Harris, PC	Abilene	TX							\$290*			
2017	Law Office of Dino S. Mantzas	Marlton	NJ							\$300*			
2017	Law Office of Edward Gonzalez, P.C.	Washington	DC				\$450*	\$350	\$410	\$380			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Law Office of Ehsanul Habib	Forest Hills	NY							\$275			
2017	Law Office of Erik G. Soderberg	Rockville	MD							\$400*			
2017	Law Office of Gary W. Cruickshank	Boston	MA							\$400			
2017	Law Office Of Gina M. Corena, Esq	Las Vegas	NV					\$400*		\$400*			
2017	Law Office of Gregory Messer PLLC	Brooklyn	NY					\$350	\$575	\$463			
2017	Law Office of H. Anthony Hervol	San Antonio	TX				\$285*			\$285*			
2017	Law Office of Harvey I. Marcus	Saddle Brook	NJ							\$350*			
2017	Law Office Of Jackie R. Geller	San Diego	CA							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of Jacqueline E. Hernandez Santiago, Esq	San Juan	PR							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Jeffrey L. Smoot	Seattle	WA				\$300*						
2017	Law Office of Jeffrey L. Zimring	Albany	NY							\$275*			
2017	Law Office of Jerome M. Douglas, LLC	Hawthorne	NJ				\$425	\$350	\$425	\$400			
2017	Law Office of Jonathan A. Backman	Bloomington	IL							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of Jonathan H. Stanwood, LLC	Philadelphia	PA							\$325*			
2017	Law Office Of Jonathan J. Sobel	Philadelphia	PA							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Judith A. Descalso	Escondido	CA				\$400*			\$300*			
2017	Law Office of Kim Y. Johnson	Laurel	MD							\$205*			
2017	Law Office of Lee M. Perlman	Cherry Hill	NJ				\$350*	\$250	\$350	\$275			
2017	Law Office of Lewis R. Landau	Calabasas	CA							\$495*			
2017	Law Office of Margaret Maxwell McClure	Houston	TX				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	Law Office of Mark B. French	Bedford	TX					\$50	\$350	\$112			
2017	Law Office Of Mark J. Giunta	Phoenix	AZ				\$425*	\$175	\$225	\$200			
2017	Law Office of Mark S. Roher, P.A.	Fort Lauderdale	FL							\$300*			
2017	Law Office Of Marvin Levy	Studio City	CA							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Michael A King	Brooklyn	NY							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of Michael J. Harker	Las Vegas	NV					\$275	\$325	\$325			
2017	Law Office Of Michael J. O'Connor	San Antonio	TX				\$300*						
2017	Law Office of Michael Y Lo	Alhambra	CA				\$475*	\$375	\$475	\$425			
2017	Law Office of Nelson M. Jones III	Houston	TX					\$250	\$375	\$312			
2017	Law Office of O. Allan Fridman	Northbrook	IL				\$425*			\$425*			
2017	Law Office of Olga Zlotnik, PLLC	Scottsdale	AZ				\$220*						
2017	Law Office of Rachel S. Blumenfeld	Brooklyn	NY							\$450			\$400*
2017	Law Office of Raquel S. White, LLC	Largo	MD							\$295*			
2017	Law Office Of Robert M Aronson	Los Angeles	CA							\$400			
2017	Law Office of Rowena N. Nelson, LLC	Largo	MD							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of Scott B. Riddle, LLC	Atlanta	GA				\$350*			\$350*			
2017	Law Office of Scott M. Hare	Pittsburgh	PA				\$400*			\$200*			
2017	Law Office of Sheila Durant	Baltimore	MD							\$375*			
2017	Law Office of Stan L Riskin P A	Aventura	FL							\$375*			
2017	Law Office of Steven M. Olson	Santa Rosa	CA					\$275	\$475	\$375			
2017	Law Office Of Thomas B. Gorrill	San Diego	CA							\$400*			
2017	Law Office of Thomas W. Lynch	Hickory Hills	IL							\$275*			
2017	Law Office of Timothy G. Niarhos	Nashville	TN					\$250	\$350	\$250			
2017	Law Office Of Timothy M. Mauser	Danvers	MA				\$420*						
2017	Law Office of Toni Campbell Parker	Memphis	TN							\$300*			
2017	Law Office of W. Derek May	Upland	CA							\$250*			
2017	Law Office of W. Thomas Bible, Jr.	Chattanooga	TN							\$250			
2017	Law Office of Warren J. Fields	Katy	TX							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of Will B. Geer, LLC	Atlanta	GA							\$325*			
2017	Law Office of William F. Kunofsky	Dallas	TX							\$350*			
2017	Law Office of William P. Fennell, APLC	San Diego	CA							\$375*			
2017	Law Office Of Yasha Rahimzadeh	Sacramento	CA							\$250*			
2017	Law Offices Lefkovitz & Lefkovitz	Nashville	TN					\$325	\$485	\$405			
2017	Law Offices of Adam Farber, P.A.	West Palm Beach	FL							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of Alan M Lurya	Irvine	CA							\$375*			
2017	Law Offices of Alla Kachan P.C.	Brooklyn	NY							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of Allen A. Kolber, Esq	Suffern	NY							\$450*			
2017	Law Offices of Andrew A. Moher	San Diego	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices Of Andrew H. Griffin, III	El Cajon	CA					\$250	\$350	\$300			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Law Offices of Anthony O Egbase & Associates	Los Angeles	CA				\$450*	\$150	\$350	\$325			
2017	Law Offices of Binder and Malter	Santa Clara	CA		\$395	\$525	\$475	\$225	\$475	\$400			
2017	Law Offices of Brooks, Frank & De La Guardia	Miami	FL				\$475*						
2017	Law Offices of Buddy D. Ford, PA	Tampa	FL				\$425	\$300	\$425	\$375			
2017	Law Offices Of C. Conde & Assoc.	San Juan	PR				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices Of C.R. Hyde	Tucson	AZ					\$250	\$295	\$272			
2017	Law Offices of Charles B. Greene	San Jose	CA							\$495*			
2017	Law Offices of Christopher S. Moffitt	Alexandria	VA				\$450*						
2017	Law Offices of Craig A. Diehl	Camp Hill	PA				\$250*			\$150*			
2017	Law Offices of Craig M. Geno, PLLC	Ridgeland	MS				\$375*			\$225*			
2017	Law Offices of Craig V. Winslow	San Mateo	CA				\$350*						
2017	Law Offices of David A Tilem	Glendale	CA				\$600*	\$400	\$500	\$450			
2017	Law Offices of David A. Arietta	Walnut Creek	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of David Carlebach, Esq	New York	NY				\$450*						\$485*
2017	Law Offices of David H. Lang	Media	PA							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices Of David N. Chandler	Santa Rosa	CA					\$420	\$520	\$470			
2017	Law Offices of David W. Meadows	Los Angeles	CA							\$550*			
2017	Law Offices of Dimitri L. Karapelou, LLC	Philadelphia	PA					\$225	\$350	\$287			
2017	Law Offices of Douglas Jacobson, LLC	Cumming	GA				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices of Douglas T Tabachnik, PC	Freehold	NJ				\$500*			\$500*			
2017	Law Offices of Drew Henwood	San Jose	CA							\$250*			
2017	Law Offices Of Eric J. Gravel	San Francisco	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices Of Francisco Javier Aldana Law Firm, LLP	San Diego	CA							\$450*			
2017	Law Offices of Gabriel Del Virginia	New York	NY				\$650*			\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Gabriel Liberman, APC	Sacramento	CA				\$250*						
2017	Law Offices of George J. Paukert	Palm Desert	CA				\$200*						
2017	Law Offices Of Gold & Gold	Hatboro	PA							\$150*			
2017	Law Offices of Henry F. Sewell, Jr	Atlanta	GA				\$350*						
2017	Law Offices of Ira Benjamin Katz, A Professional Corporation	Los Angeles	CA				\$595*						
2017	Law Offices of James E Hurley Jr	New York	NY							\$400*			
2017	Law Offices of James J. Joyce PLLC	Lancaster	NY							\$250*			
2017	Law Offices Of James Yan	Pasadena	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices Of Janet A. Lawson	Ventura	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Jeffrey M Sherman	Arlington	MD							\$500*			
2017	Law Offices of Joann M. Hennessey, PL	Miami	FL							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices Of Joel Schechter	Chicago	IL				\$450*						
2017	Law Offices of John C. Hanrahan, LLC	Frederick	MD				\$300*			\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of John D. Moore, P.A.	Ridgeland	MS					\$375	\$425	\$400			
2017	Law Offices of Kevin Michael Madden PLLC	Houston	TX							\$275*			
2017	Law Offices of Konstantine Sparagis, P.C	Chicago	IL							\$250*			
2017	Law Offices of L. William Porter III	Orlando	FL				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	Law Offices of Lawrence G. Papale	Dillsburg	PA							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices Of Lawrence L. Szabo	Oakland	CA							\$450*			
2017	Law Offices of Lewis Phon	Antioch	CA				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices of Lionel E Giron	Ontario	CA				\$350*			\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Louis J. Esbin	Stevenson Ranch	CA					\$250	\$550	\$375			
2017	Law Offices of Love & Dillenbeck, PLLC	Rural Hall	NC				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices of Marc A. Duxbury	Carlsbad	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Marc R. Kivitz	Baltimore	MD							\$400			
2017	Law Offices of Marc Voisenat	Alameda	CA							\$400*			
2017	Law Offices of Marilyn D. Garner	Arlington	TX					\$375	\$400	\$388			
2017	Law Offices of Mark E Goodfriend	Encino	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Mark S Martinez	Fountain Valley	CA				\$350*			\$200			
2017	Law Offices of Martha J. Simon	San Francisco	CA					\$350	\$450	\$400			
2017	Law Offices Of Marvin H. Gold	Hatboro	PA					\$250	\$500	\$400			
2017	Law Offices of Michael G. Spector	Santa Ana	CA							\$410*			\$380*

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Law Offices of Michael J. Henny	Pittsburgh	PA				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices of Michael Jay Berger	Beverly Hills	CA		\$495	\$525	\$510	\$265	\$495	\$373			
2017	Law Offices of Michael K. Mehr	Santa Cruz	CA							\$400*			
2017	Law Offices of Moses S. Bardavid	Encino	CA					\$275	\$350	\$313			
2017	Law Offices of Nicholas Gebelt	Whittier	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Norman and Bullington, P.A.	Tampa	FL				\$300*						
2017	Law Offices of Oxana Kozlov	Sunnyvale	CA				\$350*						
2017	Law Offices of Paul R. Torre	Encino	CA				\$400*						
2017	Law Offices of Perez & Bonomo, LLC	Hackensack	NJ				\$475*						
2017	Law Offices of Perry Ian Tischler	Bayside	NY							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of Ray Battaglia, PLLC	San Antonio	TX							\$450*			
2017	Law Offices of Raymond B. Rounds	East Orange	NJ							\$150*			
2017	Law Offices of Raymond C. Stilwell	Amherst	NY							\$250*			
2017	Law Offices of Raymond H Aver APC	Los Angeles	CA				\$525*			\$375*			
2017	Law Offices of Richard D. Gaines Esq.	Newton	NJ				\$350*						
2017	Law Offices of Richard F. Fellrath	Troy	MI				\$200*						
2017	Law Offices of Robert M. Yaspan	Woodland Hills	CA					\$300	\$550	\$435			
2017	Law Offices of Robert N. Bassel	Clinton	MI							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of Robert O Lampl	Pittsburgh	PA							\$275*			
2017	Law Offices of Russell King, PC	Dublin	TX							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices Of Ruth Elin Auerbach	San Francisco	CA							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Scott J. Sagaria	San Jose	CA				\$500*			\$450*			
2017	Law Offices Of Selwyn D. Whitehead	Oakland	CA				\$400*						
2017	Law Offices of Sheila Esmaili, Esq	Los Angeles	CA							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of Stephen J. Kleeman	Towson	MD							\$350*			
2017	Law offices of Steven T Stanton	Maryville	IL				\$225*						
2017	Law Offices of Susan J. Cofano	Montrose	CO							\$250			
2017	Law Offices of Timothy P. Thomas, LLC	Las Vegas	NV							\$350*			
2017	Law Offices of Todd B Becker	Long Beach	CA				\$400*			\$400*			
2017	Law Offices of W. Steven Shumway	Roseville	CA							\$300*			
2017	Law Offices of William F. McLaughlin	Oakland	CA				\$350*						
2017	Law Offices of William S. Katchen, LLC	Florham Park	NJ							\$850*			
2017	Law Offices of Yvette V. Dudley, P.C	Springfield Gardens	NY							\$300*			
2017	LawCare Ltd	Greensburg	PA					\$275	\$325	\$300			
2017	Ledford, Wu & Borges, LLC	Chicago	IL		\$350	\$400	\$400			\$250*			
2017	Leech Tishman Fuscaldo & Lampl, Inc	Los Angeles	CA		\$290	\$595	\$428	\$200	\$215	\$208			\$215*
2017	Leiderman Shelomith, P.A	Fort Lauderdale	FL		\$325	\$425	\$375						
2017	Leonard, Key & Key PLLC	Wichita Falls	TX							\$300*			
2017	Leslie Cohen Law PC	Santa Monica	CA				\$575	\$297	\$390	\$350			\$390*
2017	Lesnick Prince & Pappas LLP	Los Angeles	CA		\$395	\$495	\$495			\$275*			
2017	Lester & Associates, P.C.	Garden City	NY				\$375*						
2017	Levene Neale Bender Yoo & Brill LLP	Los Angeles	CA		\$515	\$595	\$575	\$335	\$555	\$425	\$515	\$595	\$575
2017	Levitt & Slafkes, P.C.	Maplewood	NJ				\$400*						
2017	Liskow & Lewis	New Orleans	LA	324						\$200*			
2017	Litt Law Group LLC	Rockville Centre	NY										\$525*
2017	Little & Milligan, PLLC	Knoxville	TN							\$300			
2017	Lobel Weiland Golden Friedman LLP	Costa Mesa	CA		\$550	\$850	\$750						\$650*
2017	Lohr & Associates, Ltd	West Chester	PA				\$300*						\$250*
2017	Lube & Soto Law Offices PSC	San Juan	PR				\$250						
2017	Lugo Mender Group, LLC	Guaynabo	PR					\$175	\$300	\$238			
2017	Lusky and Associates	Dallas	TX							\$350*			
2017	Lyssete Morales Law Office	Mayaguez	PR					\$125	\$275	\$225			
2017	M Jones & Associates, PC	Santa Ana	CA		\$300	\$400	\$350	\$300	\$400	\$350			
2017	M. Denise Dotson, LLC	Atlanta	GA							\$250*			
2017	Macdonald Fernandez LLP	San Francisco	CA				\$450			\$350*			
2017	Macey, Wilensky & Hennings, LLC	Atlanta	GA		\$350	\$450	\$425	\$195	\$450	\$398			
2017	Maciag Law, LLC	Princeton	NJ					\$465	\$475	\$470			
2017	Magee Goldstein Lasky & Sayers, P.C.	Roanoke	VA				\$375*	\$200	\$275	\$238			
2017	Mahady & Mahady	Greensburg	PA							\$275*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Malaise Law Firm	San Antonio	TX				\$275*			\$275			
2017	Malone Akerly Martin PLLC	Dallas	TX				\$350*						
2017	Mansfield Law Corporation	Oxnard	CA							\$360*			
2017	Marc A. Duxbury	Murrieta	CA							\$350*			
2017	Marc A. Zaid Esq., P.C.	Woodbury	PA							\$300*			
2017	Marcos D. Oliva, PC	McAllen	TX				\$250*			\$250*			
2017	Mark E. Cohen Bankruptcy Law Firm	Forest Hills	NY				\$400*						
2017	Mark M. Jones & Associates, P.C.	Santa Ana	CA					\$300	\$425	\$350			
2017	Markus Williams, Young & Zimmermann LLC	Denver	CO				\$445*			\$315*			
2017	Marshall Socarras Grant, P.L.	Boca Raton	FL							\$275*			
2017	Martin Keith Thomas, Attorney at Law	Dallas	TX							\$400*			
2017	Maxwell Dunn, PLC	Southfield	MI		\$300	\$350	\$325			\$200*			
2017	Mayerson & Hartheimer PLLC	New York	NY				\$600			\$350*			
2017	Mazur & Brooks, A.P.L.C.	Las Vegas	NV							\$350*			
2017	McAllister Garfield, P.C.	Denver	CO		\$415	\$435	\$425	\$200	\$250	\$225	\$175	\$375	\$275
2017	McAuliffe Law Firm	Melville	NY				\$350*						
2017	McBreen & Kopko	Jericho	NY				\$400*						
2017	McBryan, LLC	Atlanta	GA							\$400*			
2017	McCallar Law Firm	Savannah	GA					\$290	\$390	\$300			
2017	McCann Garland Ridall & Burke	Pittsburgh	PA							\$350*			
2017	McCrystal Law Office	Emmaus	PA				\$275*						
2017	McCullough Eisenberg, LLC	Warminster	PA							\$350			
2017	McDonald Hopkins	Cleveland	OH	292	\$415	\$72	\$720	\$568					
2017	McDonald, Sutton & Duval, PLC	Richmond	VA					\$225	\$395	\$310			
2017	McDowell Posternock Apell & Detrick, PC	Maple Shade	NJ				\$400	\$250	\$300	\$275			
2017	McElwee Firm, PLLC	North Wilkesboro	NC							\$250*			
2017	McGuire, Craddock & Strother	Dallas	TX				\$450*						
2017	McKinley Onua & Associates PLLC	Brooklyn	NY							\$250*			\$350*
2017	McKool Smith PC	Dallas	TX	230	\$620	\$1,200	\$800	\$325	\$345	\$335			\$545*
2017	McMillan Law Group	San Diego	CA							\$375*			
2017	McNally & Busche, L.L.C.	Newton	NJ							\$350*			
2017	McNamee, Hosea, Jernigan, Kim, Greenan & Lynch, P.A	Greenbelt	MD		\$375	\$500	\$438	\$325	\$350	\$338			
2017	McQueen & Ashman LLP	Irvine	CA		\$390	\$450	\$410				\$350	\$365	\$358
2017	McWhorter, Cobb & Johnson, LLP	Lubbock	TX							\$300			
2017	Medina Law Firm LLC	New York	NY					\$385	\$425	\$405			\$425
2017	Mellinger, Sanders & Kartzman, LLC	Morris Plains	NJ					\$335	\$395	\$365			
2017	Meridian Law	San Jose	CA							\$250*			
2017	Meridian Law, LLC	Baltimore	MD		\$300	\$325	\$313			\$250*			
2017	Merrill & Stone, LLC	Swainsboro	GA							\$285			
2017	Merrill PA	West Palm Beach	FL							\$450			
2017	Mesch Clark & Rothschild	Tucson	AZ		\$400	\$575	\$450	\$275	\$395	\$335			
2017	Messana PA	Fort Lauderdale	FL							\$350*			
2017	Mestone & Associates LLC	North Andover	MA		\$350	\$400	\$400			\$275*			
2017	Meyer, Suozzi, English & Klein, PC	Garden City	NY				\$550*						
2017	Michael A King, Attorney at Law	New York	NY							\$250*			
2017	Michael J. Davis	Denver	CO				\$350*						
2017	Michael J. Goldstein & Associates	San Francisco	CA					\$425	\$550	\$488			
2017	Michael W. Carmel, Ltd.	Phoenix	AZ							\$600*			
2017	Micheal J. Brock, Llc	Las Vegas	NV				\$250*			\$180*			
2017	Middlebrooks Shapiro, P.C.	Springfield	NJ		\$350	\$400	\$375	\$250	\$350	\$300			
2017	Millan Law Offices	San Juan	PR							\$200*			
2017	Miller & Martin PLLC	Chatanooga	TN	353			\$295						
2017	Miller and Miller, LLP	Westminster	MD							\$225*			
2017	Miller, Johnson, Snell & Cummsiskey, P.L.C	Grand Rapids	MI		\$370	\$460	\$420						\$300*
2017	Mincin Law, PLLC	Las Vegas	NV				\$350*			\$360*			
2017	Minden Lawyers, LLC	Minden	NV		\$325	\$400	\$363	\$150	\$225	\$200			
2017	Minion & Sherman	West Caldwell	NJ				\$325*						
2017	Mitchell A. Sommers ESQ, P.C.	Ephrata	PA							\$225*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Moher Law Group	San Francisco	CA							\$350*			
2017	Montez & Williams PC	Waco	TX					\$225	\$350	\$288			
2017	Moon Wright & Houston, PLLC	Charlotte	NC					\$240	\$350	\$350			
2017	Moretsky Law Firm	Huntingdon Valley	PA					\$220*		\$125*			
2017	Morgan & Bley, Ltd	Chicago	IL					\$450*		\$265*			
2017	Morris, Nichols, Arshat & Tunnell LLP	Wilmington	DE	421	\$650	\$1,050		\$775	\$395	\$625	\$415		\$595*
2017	Morris, Polich & Purdy, LLP	Los Angeles	CA	472				\$575*			\$575*		
2017	Moses & Singer	New York	NY	413				\$895*					
2017	Morrison-Tenenbaum PLLC	New York	NY					\$495*			\$350		
2017	Motschenbacher & Blattner LLP	Portland	OR					\$375*	\$315	\$375	\$345		
2017	MRO Attorneys at Law, LLC	San Juan	PR								\$250*		
2017	Ms Lozada Law Office	San Juan	PR		\$150	\$200		\$175			\$150*		
2017	Mullin Hoard & Brown, LLP	Lubbock	TX		\$275	\$420		\$348					
2017	Munsch Hardt Kopf & Harr PC	Dallas	TX	360	\$480	\$650		\$565			\$300*		
2017	Murphy Mahon Keffler & Farrier, L.L.P	Fort Worth	TX					\$450*			\$400*		
2017	Nathan Sommers Jacobs PC	Houston	TX					\$550*			\$330*		
2017	Neeleman Law Group	Everett	WA					\$275	\$360	\$318			
2017	Neeley Law Firm Plc	Chandler	AZ								\$300*		
2017	Neff & Boyer, P.C.	Tucson	AZ					\$200	\$350	\$275			
2017	Nelson Mullins Riley & Scarborough LLP	Atlanta	GA	86	\$410	\$570	\$450	\$300	\$390	\$335			
2017	Newman & Newman, PC	Ridgeland	MS								\$300*		
2017	Niarhos & Waldron, PLC	Nashville	TN		\$250	\$350	\$300				\$250*		
2017	Nicolas A. Wong Law Offices	San Juan	PR					\$200	\$225	\$213			
2017	Noble Law Firm, P.A	Boca Raton	FL					\$300*					
2017	Noonan & Lieberman Ltd	Chicago	IL					\$150*					
2017	Norgaard O'Boyle, Attorneys At Law	Englewood	NJ		\$400	\$525	\$463	\$300	\$350	\$325			
2017	Nuti Hart LLP	Oakland	CA					\$575			\$575*		
2017	Nutovic & Associates	New York	NY					\$560*					
2017	Oaktree Law	Cerritos	CA					\$250	\$400	\$400			
2017	Obermayer Rebmann Maxwell & Hippel LLP	Philadelphia	PA	367							\$350*		
2017	Odin, Feldman & Pittleman	Reston	VA					\$485*					
2017	Offit Kurman, PA	Bethesda	MD	308				\$440*					
2017	Okin & Adams, LLP	Houston	TX					\$425*			\$295	\$345	\$320
2017	Olshan Frome Wolosky LLP	New York	NY	431				\$730*			\$360*		
2017	Olson Nicoud & Gueck, LLP	Dallas	TX					\$400			\$400*		
2017	Onukwugha & Associates, LLC	Baltimore	MD								\$375*		
2017	Orantes Law Firm PC	Los Angeles	CA					\$500*			\$500		
2017	Orenstein Law Group	Dallas	TX					\$425*			\$225*		\$350*
2017	Ortiz & Ortiz LLP	Astoria	NY		\$400	\$450	\$425	\$325	\$350	\$325			\$325*
2017	Pachulski, Stang, Ziehl, and Jones LLP	Wilmington	DE		\$850	\$1,095	\$1,050	\$240	\$1,195	\$438			
2017	Palm Harbor Law Group	Palm Harbor	FL								\$200*		
2017	Pamela G. Magee, Attorney at Law	Baton Rouge	LA								\$325*		
2017	Pamela Jan Zylstra, A Professional Corporation	Irvine	CA								\$425*		
2017	Parker & DuFresne, P.A	Jacksonville	FL								\$300		
2017	Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein LLP	Charlotte	NC	219	\$380	\$475	\$428				\$575*		
2017	Parry Tyndall White	Chapel Hill	NC					\$325*			\$200*		
2017	Pasquale Menna, Esq	Red Bank	NJ								\$250*		
2017	Paul D. Bradford, PLLC	Cary	NC								\$350*		
2017	Paul Reece Marr, P.C.	Atlanta	GA								\$325		
2017	Paul Weiss Rifkind Wharton Garrison LLP	New York	NY	28	\$1,220	\$1,395	\$1,320	\$820	\$1,040	\$995			
2017	Penachio Malara LLP	White Plains	NY		\$325	\$450	\$388	\$325	\$400	\$363			
2017	Pendergraft & Simon LLP	Houston	TX					\$450*	\$200	\$250	\$225		
2017	Pepper Hamilton LLP	Philadelphia	PA	92	\$555	\$835	\$765	\$330	\$485	\$475			
2017	Perkins Coie LLP	Seattle	WA	31				\$695*					
2017	Phil Rhodes Law Corporation	Fair Oaks	CA					\$350*	\$300	\$350	\$325		
2017	Phillabaum Ledlin Matthews Sheldon PLLC	Spokane	WA								\$300*		
2017	Phillip K. Wallace, PLC	Mandeville	LA								\$250*		
2017	Pick & Zabicki LLP	New York	NY		\$325	\$425	\$375				\$250*		
2017	Pillar+Aught	Harrisburg	PA								\$395*		

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP	Washington	DC	73	\$790	\$1,235	\$830			\$680*			
2017	Pletz and Reed, P.C.	Jefferson City	MO					\$150	\$200	\$175			
2017	Pollan Legal	Jacksonville	FL							\$200			
2017	Polsinelli PC	Kansas City	MO	51	\$400	\$625	\$513	\$260	\$360	\$310			
2017	Porter Hedges LLP	Houston	TX	383			\$485*			\$320*			
2017	Porter Law Network	Chicago	IL		\$400	\$450	\$425						
2017	Procopio, Cory, Hargreaves & Savitch LLP	San Diego	CA	255			\$525*	\$350	\$525	\$438			
2017	Pronske Goolsby & Kathman, P.C.	Dallas	TX				\$600*	\$195	\$225	\$210			
2017	Proskauer Rose LLP	New York	NY	57			\$1200*						
2017	Pulman, Cappuccio, Pullen, Benson & Jones LLP	San Antonio	TX		\$350	\$425	\$350			\$200*			
2017	Purcell Krug and Haller	Harrisburg	PA				\$300*			\$250*			
2017	Rafool Bourne & Shelby	Peoria	IL				\$250*						
2017	Randal R Leonard Law Firm	Las Vegas	NV							\$350*			
2017	Randall S D Jacobs PLLC	New York	NY					\$300	\$600	\$450			
2017	Rattet PLLC	White Plains	NY					\$400	\$650	\$525			
2017	Rayman & Knight	Kalamazoo	MI		\$250	\$325	\$293						
2017	Redman Ludwig PC	Indianapolis	IN				\$250*						
2017	Reed Smith, LLP	New York	NY	15	\$820	\$902	\$880	\$425	\$675	\$528			
2017	Reganyan Law Firm	Glendale	CA							\$300*			
2017	Renan Buendia Hinojosa	Annandale	VA							\$400*			
2017	Reynolds Law Corporation	Davis	CA				\$350*						
2017	Richard L Hirsh, P.C.	Lisle	IL					\$75	\$400	\$238			
2017	Richard S. Feinsilver, Esq.	Carle Place	NY				\$350*						
2017	Richard W. Martinez, APLC	New Orleans	LA				\$350*						
2017	Richards, Layton & Finger, P.A	Wilmington	DE	256	\$250	\$850	\$738	\$295	\$465	\$360			
2017	Rick L. Sponaugle CPA LLC	Denver	CO							\$125*			
2017	Riggi Law Firm	Las Vegas	NV				\$400*	\$195	\$400	\$298			
2017	Riley & Dever, P.C.	Lynnfield	MA					\$50	\$350	\$200			
2017	Ritter Spencer PLLC	Addison	TX							\$350*			
2017	Rivera-Velez & Santiago LLC	San Juan	PR					\$75	\$200	\$150			
2017	Roach, Leite & Manyin, LLC	Philadelphia	PA				\$250*						
2017	Robert A Angueira, PA	Miami	FL					\$260	\$450	\$355			
2017	Robert Altman, PA	Palatka	FL							\$400*			
2017	Robert C. Bruner, Attorney at Law	Tallahassee	FL							\$350			
2017	Robert O Lampl Law Office	Pittsburgh	PA					\$350	\$450	\$388			
2017	Robinson, Bradshaw & Hinson, P.A.	Charlotte	NC	320	\$330	\$565	\$425	\$175	\$565	\$310			
2017	Robl Law Group LLC	Tucker	GA				\$350*	\$250	\$350	\$300	\$250	\$300	\$275
2017	Rodriguez & Asociados	Vega Baja	PR					\$175	\$250	\$213			
2017	Rogers Law Offices	Atlanta	GA				\$350*			\$295*			
2017	Ronald D. Weiss, PC	Melville	NY							\$350*			
2017	Rosen, Kantrow & Dillon, PLLC	Huntington	NY							\$425*			
2017	Rosenberg Musso & Weiner LLP	Brooklyn	NY				\$625*			\$575*			
2017	Rosenstein & Associates	Temecula	CA				\$375*						
2017	Rosenthal, Levy, Simon & Ryles	West Palm Beach	FL										\$400*
2017	Rounds & Sutter, LLP	Ventura	CA				\$350			\$275*			
2017	Roussos, Lassiter, Glanzer & Barnhart	Norfolk	VA		\$325	\$390	\$358						
2017	Ruben Gonzalez	Bayamon	PR							\$250*			
2017	Rubin and Rubin, P.A.	Jacksonville	FL				\$575						
2017	Ruddy, King & Petersen Law Group, LLC	Aurora	IL		\$270	\$280	\$275						
2017	Rudov & Stein P.C.	Pittsburgh	PA				\$400*			\$185*			\$280*
2017	Ruff and Cohen	Gainesville	FL							\$300*			
2017	Ruta Soulios Stratis LLP	New York	NY				\$440*						
2017	Sabaratnam and Associates	Oakland	CA				\$280*			\$360*			
2017	Sandground, West, Silek & Raminpour, PLC	Vienna	VA				\$350*						
2017	Santiago & Gonzalez Law	Yauco	PR				\$200*			\$125*			
2017	Santos Berrios Law Offices LLC	Humacao	PR					\$150	\$200	\$175			
2017	Sasser Law Firm	Cary	NC				\$300*			\$290*			
2017	Saul Ewing LLP	Philadelphia	PA	171	\$695	\$780	\$710			\$395*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Scarborough & Fulton	Chattanooga	TN							\$375*			
2017	Scaringi & Scaringi, PC	Harrisburg	PA				\$275			\$175*			
2017	Schachter Harris LLP	Dallas	TX					\$160	\$300	\$210			
2017	Schafer and Weiner, PLLC	Bloomfield Hills	MI		\$310	\$465	\$373	\$245	\$295	\$275			
2017	Scheef & Stone, LLP	Frisco	TX		\$400	\$450	\$400			\$300*			
2017	Schian Walker, P.L.C	Phoenix	AZ				\$560*			\$220*			
2017	Schneider & Onofry, P.C.	Phoenix	AZ				\$385*						
2017	Schneider & Stone	Skokie	IL							\$350*			
2017	Schneider Miller, P.C	Detroit	MI					\$175	\$390	\$270			
2017	Schwartz & Shaw LLC	Bethlehem	PA							\$300*			
2017	Scott E. Kaplan, LLC	Allentown	NJ					\$250	\$300	\$275			
2017	Scura, Wigfield, Heyer, Stevens & Cammarota, LLP	Wayne	NJ				\$425	\$350	\$425	\$375			
2017	Seabrook Law Offices	San Jose	CA				\$300*						
2017	Serratelli, Schiffman, & Brown P.C	Harrisburg	PA				\$300*			\$250*			
2017	Severaid & Glahn, Pc	Sacramento	CA				\$375*						
2017	Sferrazza & Keenan PLLC	Melville	NY				\$300*						
2017	SFS Law Group	Charlotte	NC				\$400*						
2017	Shafferman & Feldman, LLP	New York	NY					\$325	\$360	\$343			
2017	Shapiro, Croland, Reiser, Apfel & Di Iorio, LLP	North Haledon	NJ							\$375			
2017	ShapiroSchwartz LLP	Houston	TX							\$375*			
2017	Sheehan Law Firm, PLLC	Ocean Springs	MS				\$300*						
2017	Sheils Winnubst PC	Richardson	TX					\$225	\$350	\$288			
2017	Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton LLP	Los Angeles	CA	64			\$760*	\$585	\$630	\$608			
2017	Sherman Silverstein Kohl Rose & Podolsky	Moorestown	NJ		\$415	\$650	\$533						
2017	Shevitz Law Firm	Los Angeles	CA							\$350*			
2017	Shipkevich PLLC	New York	NY				\$500*			\$350*			\$500*
2017	Shraiberg, Landau & Page, P.A.	Boca Raton	FL		\$375	\$500	\$438			\$325*			
2017	Shulman Hodges & Bastian LLP	Irvine	CA		\$395	\$575	\$550	\$275	\$425	\$350	\$425	\$650	\$513
2017	Sichenzia Ross Friedman Ference LLP	New York	NY				\$575*						
2017	Sidley Austin LLP	Chicago	IL	10	\$965	\$1,180	\$1,135						
2017	Siegel & Siegel, P.C.	New York	NY				\$400*						
2017	Sills Cummis & Gross P.C.	Newark	NJ	311	\$695	\$775	\$735			\$495*			\$525*
2017	Simbro & Stanley, PLC	Scottsdale	AZ							\$500*			
2017	Simon Resnik Hayes LLP	Sherman Oaks	CA		\$385	\$425	\$405			\$350			\$485
2017	Simpson Thacher & Bartlett LLP	New York	NY	27	\$1,340	\$1,360	\$1,350	\$740	\$1,080	\$900	\$1,115	\$1,170	\$1,143
2017	Slipakoff & Slomka, PC	Atlanta	GA							\$300*			
2017	Smaha Law Group, APC	San Diego	CA				\$425*			\$285			
2017	Smith Conerly LLP	Carrollton	GA				\$325*			\$270*			
2017	Snow Spence Green LLP	Houston	TX					\$500	\$650	\$575			
2017	Southwell & O'Rourke P.S.	Spokane	WA					\$300	\$400	\$350			
2017	Speckman & Associates	San Diego	CA				\$250*						
2017	Spector and Johnson	Dallas	TX		\$325	\$350	\$338						
2017	Spence Custer Saylor Wolfe & Rose, LLC	Johnstown	PA				\$250*			\$250			
2017	Spence Law Office, P.C.	Jericho	NY				\$450*						
2017	Spigner & Associates, PC	Plano	TX				\$450*			\$200*			
2017	Springer Brown, LLC	Wheaton	IL				\$405*	\$315	\$375	\$350			
2017	St. James Law, P.C.	San Francisco	CA				\$595*						
2017	Stan L. Riskin, P.A.	Plantation	FL							\$375*			
2017	Stanley A Kirshenbaum, Attorney at Law	Pittsburgh	PA							\$250*			
2017	Starr & Starr, PLLC	New York	NY				\$400*	\$90	\$380	\$235			
2017	Steidl & Steinberg	Pittsburgh	PA				\$300*						
2017	Steinberg & Associates Esqs	Kew Gardens	NY				\$450*						
2017	Steinberg Nutter & Brent	Calabasas	CA				\$450*			\$250*			
2017	Stephen C. Hinze. Counselor At Law	Vista	CA				\$275*						
2017	Steven L. Yarmy, Esq.	Las Vegas	NV							\$450*			
2017	Steven M. Fishman P.A.	Clearwater	FL							\$300*			
2017	Steven R Fox Law Offices	Encino	CA				\$450*						
2017	Steven T. Mulligan	Denver	CO					\$236	\$325	\$293			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	Stevenson & Bullock, P.L.C	Southfield	MI		\$275	\$375	\$325	\$275	\$300	\$300			
2017	Stewart McArdle & Sorice, LLC	Greensburg	PA				\$225*						
2017	Stewart Robbins & Brown, LLC	Baton Rouge	LA		\$285	\$370	\$360						
2017	Stichter, Riedel, Blain & Postler, P.A.	Tampa	FL				\$350*			\$225*			
2017	Stillman & Associates, P.C.	Miami Beach	FL							\$500*			
2017	Stone and Baxter, LLP	Macon	GA							\$135*			
2017	Strawn & Edwards, PLLC	Dyersburg	TN							\$285*			
2017	SulmeyerKupetz	Los Angeles	CA		\$550	\$800	\$595	\$175	\$550	\$475	\$525	\$560	\$525
2017	Suzy Tate, P.A.	Tampa	FL		\$300	\$325	\$313			\$260*			
2017	Tang & Associates, P.C.	Los Angeles	CA				\$325*	\$250	\$400	\$325			
2017	Tarbox Law, P.C.	Lubbock	TX							\$300*			
2017	Tarpy, Cox, Fleishman & Leveille, PLLC	Knoxville	TN					\$200	\$300	\$275			
2017	Tarter Krinsky & Drogin	New York	NY				\$590*						
2017	Tavener & Beran, PLC	Richmond	VA		\$405	\$415	\$410			\$235*			
2017	Thaler Law Firm PLLC	Westbury	NY				\$500*						
2017	The Ballstaedt Law Firm	Las Vegas	NV							\$300			
2017	The Bankruptcy Group, P.C	Roseville	CA					\$200	\$400	\$200			
2017	The Batista Law Group, PSC	San Juan	PR					\$75	\$225	\$150			
2017	The Burns Law Firm, LLC	Greenbelt	MD				\$495*			\$355*			
2017	The Callins Law Firm, LLC	Atlanta	GA				\$215*						
2017	The Cowart Law Firm, PC	Madison	GA				\$250*						
2017	The Coyle Law Group LLC	Columbia	MD				\$400*						
2017	The De Leo Law Firm, LLC	Mandeville	LA							\$300*			
2017	The DeLorenzo Law Firm	Schenectady	NY										\$350*
2017	The Derbes Law Firm, L.L.C.	Metairie	LA		\$300	\$375	\$350	\$160	\$200	\$180			\$275*
2017	The Dorf Law Firm LLP	Mamaroneck	NY				\$495*			\$375*			\$850*
2017	The Dragich Law Firm PLLC	Grosse Pointe Woods	MI				\$375*			\$250*			
2017	The Dribusch Law Firm	East Greenbush	NY							\$300*			
2017	The Feldman Law Group	San Diego	CA							\$375*			
2017	The Fuller Law Firm, PC	San Jose	CA		\$395	\$505	\$475						
2017	The Furnier Muzzo Group, Llc	Las Vegas	NV							\$300*			
2017	The Guard Law Group, PLLC	Lakeland	FL							\$300*			
2017	The Harvey Law Firm	Dallas	TX				\$400*						
2017	The Kelly Firm, PC	Spring Lake	NJ				\$400			\$275			
2017	The Law Firm Of Ann Shaw, P.A.	Salisbury	MD							\$345*			
2017	The Law Firm of Florida Bankruptcy Advisors, P.L.	Fort Lauderdale	FL				\$300*						
2017	The Law Office of Barry S. Miller	Newark	NJ							\$350*			
2017	The Law Office Of Corey B. Beck, P.C.	Las Vegas	NV							\$375*			
2017	The Law Office of David F. Mills	Smithfield	NC					\$150	\$250	\$200			
2017	The Law Office of Jay Meyers	Staten Island	NY							\$450*			
2017	The Law Office of Robert Eckard and Associates, PA	Palm Harbor	FL							\$250*			
2017	The Law Office of William J. Factor, Ltd	Northbrook	IL		\$275	\$375	\$325						
2017	The Law Offices of Eric N. McKay	Jacksonville Beach	FL				\$350*						
2017	The Law Offices Of Hector Eduardo Pedrosa Luna	San Juan	PR							\$175*			
2017	The Law Offices of Jason A. Burgess, LLC	Atlantic Beach	FL		\$295	\$300	\$295	\$195	\$300	\$248			
2017	The Law Offices of Jeffrey L. Weinstein	New York	NY							\$500*			
2017	The Law Offices of Oliver & Cheek, PLLC	New Bern	NC				\$175*						
2017	The Law Offices of Richard B. Rosenblatt	Rockville	MD					\$295	\$300	\$350			
2017	The Law Offices of Robert M. Fox, Esq.	New York	NY					\$275	\$375	\$325			
2017	The Law Offices of Robert S. Lewis P.C	Nyack	NY							\$400*			
2017	The Law Offices of Stephen R Wade	Claremont	CA		\$125	\$415	\$270			\$250*			
2017	The Law Office of Tuella O. Sykes	Seattle	WA							\$310*			
2017	The Lewis Law Group, P.C.	Arlington	VA							\$350*			
2017	The Milledge Law Firm, PLLC	Houston	TX							\$350*			
2017	The Mitchell Law Firm, L.P	Dallas	TX		\$325	\$375	\$325			\$225			
2017	The Perez Law Firm	Corpus Christi	TX				\$250*			\$150*			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	The Phillips Law Offices, LLC	Saugus	MA				\$300*						
2017	The Pope Firm	Johnson City	TN							\$250*			
2017	The Pope Law Firm	Houston	TX				\$300*			\$300*			
2017	The Schofield Law Firm, P.C.	Brunswick	GA							\$225*			
2017	The Shinbrot Firm	Beverly Hills	CA					\$465	\$525	\$495			
2017	The Spears & Robl Law Firm, LLC	Decatur	GA				\$350*			\$350*			
2017	The Tracy Firm, Ltd	Chicago	IL							\$350*			\$350*
2017	The Turoci Firm	Riverside	CA					\$275	\$500	\$400			
2017	The Vida Law Firm, PLLC	Bedford	TX							\$350*			
2017	The Wiley Law Group, PLLC	Dallas	TX							\$375*			
2017	The Wright Law Office, PC	Decatur	GA							\$285*			
2017	Thomas B. Woodward, Attorney at Law	Tallahassee	FL				\$400*						
2017	Thomas E. Crowe, Professional Law Corporation	Las Vegas	NV				\$425*						
2017	Thomas F. Quinn, PC	Denver	CO				\$250*						
2017	Thomas J. Dwyer & Associates, LLC	New York	NY				\$350*						
2017	Thompson & Knight LLP	Dallas	TX	162			\$695*						
2017	Thompson Burton PLLC	Franklin	TN				\$395	\$225	\$395	\$310			
2017	Thompson Law Group, P.C.	Pittsburgh	PA							\$250*			
2017	Timothy W Gensmer, PA	Sarasota	FL							\$300*			
2017	Togut, Segal & Segal	New York	NY		\$875	\$990	\$933						
2017	Totaro & Shanahan	Pacific Palisades	CA					\$500	\$550	\$525			
2017	Trenk, DiPasquale, Della Fera & Sodono, P.C.	West Orange	NJ		\$245	\$580	\$563	\$240	\$615	\$275			
2017	Trodella & Lapping LLP	San Francisco	CA				\$500*						
2017	Tsao-Wu and Yee, LLP	San Jose	CA							\$300*			
2017	Tucker Hester Baker & Krebs, LLC	Indianapolis	IN				\$350*			\$350*			
2017	Tully Rinckey PLLC	Albany	NY				\$350*			\$180*			
2017	Tyler S. Van Voorhees Law, LLC	Clermont	FL							\$250*			
2017	Underwood, Perkins and Ralston	Dallas	TX					\$225	\$450	\$338			
2017	Van Dam Law LLP	Newton	MA							\$350*			
2017	Van Horn Law Group, PA	Fort Lauderdale	FL				\$400*	\$350	\$400	\$350			
2017	Villeda Law Group	McAllen	TX					\$250	\$375	\$313			
2017	Vincent D. Commisa, Esq.	Warren	NJ							\$350*			
2017	Vogel Bach & Horn, P.C.	New York	NY				\$225*						
2017	Vokshori Law Group	Los Angeles	CA							\$300*			
2017	Vorndran Shilliday PC	Denver	CO							\$300*			
2017	Vortman & Feinstein	Seattle	WA				\$425*			\$310*			
2017	Wadsworth Warner Conrardy, P.C.	Denver	CO		\$285	\$400	\$300			\$200*			
2017	Walsh, Becker, Wood & Rice	Bowie	MD				\$300*						
2017	Warner Norcross & Judd LLP	Grand Rapids	MI	182	\$410	\$555	\$518	\$285	\$345	\$315			\$550*
2017	Warshaw Burstein, LLP	New York	NY		\$175	\$375	\$275			\$275*			
2017	Wasserman, Jurista & Stolz, P.C.	Basking Ridge	NJ		\$375	\$675	\$450				\$500	\$550	\$525
2017	Wauson Probus	Sugar Land	TX				\$450*	\$250	\$450	\$400			
2017	Wayne Greenwald, P.C.	New York	NY				\$600*			\$550*			
2017	Weinberg Zareh & Geyerhahn, LLP	New York	NY				\$575			\$325*			
2017	Weinman & Associates, PC	Denver	CO				\$475*			\$475*			
2017	Weintraub & Selth APC	Los Angeles	CA		\$495	\$550	\$523	\$395	\$550	\$430			\$435*
2017	Weiss & Spees, LLP	Los Angeles	CA		\$350	\$500	\$500						
2017	Weissberg & Associates, Ltd	Chicago	IL				\$450*						
2017	Wells And Jarvis, P.S	Seattle	WA							\$360*			
2017	Weycer, Kaplan, Pulaski & Zuber, P.C.	Arlington	TX				\$385*			\$195*			
2017	White & Wolnerman, PLLC	New York	NY					\$250	\$400	\$400			
2017	Whiteford, Taylor & Preston	Baltimore	MD	265	\$530	\$570	\$550			\$340*			
2017	Whitelaw & Fangio	Syracuse	NY				\$225*						
2017	Wilcox Law Firm	Ponte Vedra Beach	FL				\$325*						
2017	William E. Jamison Jr., Attorney at Law	Chicago	IL							\$350*			
2017	William E. Maddox Jr., L.L.C.	Knoxville	TN							\$200*			
2017	William F. Davis & Associates, PC	Albuquerque	NM				\$475*	\$225	\$250	\$238			

Year	Firm Name	Largest U.S. Office - City	State	NLJ 500 Rank 2017	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Billing Rate Low	Counsel Billing Rate High	Counsel Billing Rate Average
2017	William H. Brownstein & Associates, Professional Corporation	Santa Monica	CA				\$525*						
2017	Willis & Wilkins, LLP	San Antonio	TX				\$375*						
2017	Willkie Farr & Gallagher LLP	New York	NY	74	\$1,150	\$1,425	\$1,350	\$625	\$965	\$800			
2017	Wilson, Harrell, Farrington	Pensacola	FL							\$150*			
2017	Winegarden Haley Lindholm & Robertson PLC	Grand Blanc	MI				\$225*						
2017	Winstead PC	Dallas	TX	130	\$550	\$625	\$588	\$335	\$450	\$375			
2017	Winston & Cashatt, Lawyers	Spokane	WA							\$280*			
2017	Winston & Strawn LLP	Chicago	IL	46			\$930*	\$560	\$750	\$655			
2017	Winthrop Couchot Golubow Hollander, LLP	Newport Beach	CA		\$595	\$750	\$595			\$425*			\$750
2017	Wiss & Freemyer, LLP	Dallas	TX							\$375*			
2017	Wollmuth Maher & Deutsch LLP	New York	NY		\$695	\$795	\$695			\$595*			
2017	Womac Law	Houston	TX							\$225*			
2017	Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, LLP	Winston-Salem	NC	97			\$525*	\$350	\$400	\$375			\$450
2017	Woods Rogers PLC	Roanoke	VA				\$355*	\$185	\$200	\$193			
2017	Wright Law Offices	Phoenix	AZ							\$300*			
2017	Wyatt & Mirabella PC	The Woodlands	TX				\$600*			\$600*			
2017	Young Conaway Stargatt & Taylor, LLP	Wilmington	DE	408	\$520	\$890	\$805	\$285	\$540	\$430			
2017	Yumkas, Vidmar, Sweeney & Mulrenin, LLC	Columbia	MD		\$295	\$420	\$358						
2017	Zack A. Clement PLLC	Houston	TX							\$600*			
2017	Zalkin Revell, PLLC	Santa Rosa Beach	FL				\$300*	\$265	\$300	\$300			
2017	Zolkin Talerico LLP	Los Angeles	CA				\$495*						
2017	Zousmer Law Group PLC	Bloomfield Hills	MI				\$395						

* Not an average - represents one rate/one position.